While the Florida MBRT adopted South Florida Water Management District's WRAP as the preferred functional assessment methodology to use in banking at this time, the team has added several items to accommodate the banking process. They are as follows:

Under the Wildlife Variable, the team has defined in more detail a suite of guilds to be included in the descriptors. See addendum following the WRAP document.

Under the Water Quality Variable, the team outlined water quality parameters and sampling procedures necessary to better evaluate success. See addendum following the WRAP document.

Due to the weighting procedures adopted by the Florida MBRT, WRAP section 2.2, Methodology for Scoring Habitat Variables, will not be followed in entirety. The scores may not be summed or divided by the total maximum score as stated at the end of the methodology; rather, an alternative procedure is explained in sections 5b and 5f.

If the bank is located in an estuarine environment, WRAP should not be used. The Development Team modified WRAP to accommodate the estuarine system (EWRAP). A copy of EWRAP may be obtained from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Regulatory Division, South Permits Branch, in West Palm Beach, 561-683-1632.

TECHNICAL PUBLICATION REG -001

WETLAND RAPID ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE (WRAP)

Raymond E. Miller Jr., Senior Environmental Analyst Boyd E. Gunsalus, Staff Environmental Analyst

September 1997

NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DIVISION REGULATION DEPARTMENT SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

ABSTRACT

The Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure (WRAP) is a matrix developed by the South Florida Water Management District to assist the regulatory evaluation of mitigation sites (created, restored, enhanced or preserved) that are permitted through the District's Management and Storage of Surface Waters or Environmental Resource Permit processes. The objectives of WRAP are: 1. to establish an accurate, consistent, and timely regulatory tool; 2. to track trends over time (land use vs. wetland impacts); and 3. to offer guidance for environmental site plan development. WRAP evaluation is a rapid assessment meant to be used within the limited timeframes of the regulatory process. Test results of the WRAP procedure showed it to be highly repeatable and an effective training tool for biologists. As additional data are collected, further analysis will be conducted in an attempt to establish a relationship between land use and wetland function.

Key Words. wetland assessment, mitigation, wetland function, anthropogenic impacts, wetland evaluation, land use impacts, habitat assessment.

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure (WRAP) is a matrix developed to assist in the regulatory evaluation of wetland sites that have been created, enhanced, preserved, or restored through the District's Management and Storage of Surface Waters or Environmental Resource Permit processes. This standardized matrix can be used in combination with professional judgment to provide an accurate and consistent evaluation of wetland sites.

The WRAP matrix establishes a numerical ranking for individual ecological and anthropogenic factors (variables) that can strongly influence the success of mitigation projects. The numerical output for the variables is then used to evaluate the current wetland condition. The matrix can be used to evaluate a wide range of wetland/upland systems (e.g., emergent marsh, wet prairie, hardwood swamp, wet pine flatwoods, etc.) but it is not intended to compare different wetland community types (i.e., marsh to wet prairie) to each other.

Use of the WRAP matrix is intended to accomplish a number of objectives: to establish a simple, accurate, consistent and timely regulatory tool; to track trends over time (land use vs. wetland impacts); and to offer guidance for environmental site plan development.

WRAP is not a substitution for applied research science. It is a tool that is to be used by the regulatory community to ensure consistency and accuracy when evaluating a site during the regulatory process of resource permitting and post permit compliance. WRAP can be used as a tool to document baseline information for a site prior to development activities. WRAP input data consist primarily of field observations and professional experience.

WRAP variables include the following:

- Wildlife Utilization
- Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy
- Wetland Vegetative Ground Cover
- Adjacent Upland Support/Wetland Buffer
- Field Indicators of Wetland Hydrology
- Water Quality Input and Treatment Systems

Evaluation of a wetland site requires office preparation as well as the field investigation. Office preparation includes obtaining aerial maps, identifying the project boundaries and adjacent lands uses, and identifying on-site wetland areas. In addition, the evaluator should attempt to locate any references to on-site hydrology, soils, site management, seasonal variability, wildlife studies, rainfall data and any other pertinent information.

Methodology for the Habitat Assessment Variable is a series of discussions - one for each WRAP assessment variable. Following each variable description is a matrix containing a set of calibration descriptions and corresponding score points. A score of 3 is considered the best a system can function and 0 is for a system that is severely impacted and is exhibiting negligible attributes.

Each system must be evaluated on its own attributes and is not to be compared to a different type of system (i.e. wet prairie vs. marsh vs. cypress dome). An evaluator also has the option to score each parameter in half (0.5) increments. This provides the flexibility to score a variable that is not accurately described or fitted by the calibration description. Half increments are utilized on the point scale from 0.5 through 2.5. Each applicable variable is scored: the scores are totaled ($\sum V$) and then $\sum V$ is divided by the total of the maximum score for that variable ($\sum V$ max). The final rating score for "Habitat Assessment Variables" will be expressed as a number between 0 and 1.

WRAP has been tested statistically and found to be a repeatable procedure. A total of 303 data points was used in the preliminary testing of WRAP. This included 81 different wetland sites with between 3-5 independent evaluators per site, 8 different wetland communities and 19 land use designations. Analysis for multicollinearity and correlations among the variables yielded no significant correlation.

Ten land use designations were originally selected in the attempt to determine the degree of impact associated with the wetland variables identified in WRAP. The ten land use designations were as follows:

- Agriculture
- High Intensity Commercial
- Highways
- Industrial
- Institutional
- Low Density Residential
- Low Intensity Commercial
- Multi-Family Residential
- Recreational/open space
- Single-Family Residential

Once the testing of WRAP was complete it became apparent that for most land uses the dataset was inadequate to make any inferences with regard to land use associated with wetland impacts. In addition, the testing of WRAP identified as many as eight additional land uses that were not originally included. The current list of WRAP land use designations now includes:

- Citrus Grove
- Dairy and Feedlot
- Golf Course
- High Intensity Commercial
- Highways
- Improved Pasture
- Industrial
- Institutional
- Low Density Residential
- Low Intensity Commercial
- Mining
- Moderately Intensive Commercial
- Multi-Family Residential

- Open space / Natural Undeveloped Areas
- Recreational
- Row Crop
- Single-Family Residential
- Unimproved pasture / Rangeland
- Sugarcane

As additional data are collected, further analysis will be conducted in an attempt to establish a relationship between land use and wetland function.

The overall objective in the development of WRAP is to utilize as much information as possible, both from literature reviews and professional experience, and organize it in the form of a simple but accurate matrix. In order for a functional assessment procedure to be accepted by the regulatory community, the procedure has to be simple enough to use without collecting time-consuming field data and must be able to be completed within a relatively short time period.

the transport of the second of the second

.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There have been numerous individuals who have assisted over the last five years in the development, testing and review of the Wetlands Rapid Assessment Procedure (WRAP). As the Authors of WRAP, we would like to extend our gratitude to the following individuals:

Kim Fikowski - SFWMD

Dr. James Karr- University of Washington Dr. Leska Fore- University of Washington

Lisa Grant - SJRWMD

Ralph Fanson - SFWMD

Beth Kacvinsky - SFWMD

Lorne Malo - SJRWMD

Brent Nicholas - SFWMD

Buddy Robson - SFWMD

Hal Herbst - SFWMD

Deborah Marzella - SFWMD

Jami McCormick - SFWMD

Dawn Dowling - SFWMD

Natalie Hardman - SJRWMD

Ed Edmundson - SFWMD

Don Medellin - SFWMD

Stacy Myers - SFWMD

Dr. Fred Sklar - SFWMD

Dr. Dale Gawlik - SFWMD

Ken Rutchey – SFWMD

Les Vilchek - SFWMD

Dr. Zhenguan Chen - SFWMD

Mike Slayton - SFWMD

Susan Elfers - SFWMD

John Lesman - SFWMD

Bob Goodrick - SFWMD (retired)

Dr. David Black - SFWMD

Pete David - SFWMD

Dr. Eric Flaig - SFWMD

Laura Burnett - FDOT

Ann Broadwell - FDOT

Pat Webster - FDOT

Jeff Weller - FDOT

Howard Yamataki - NRCS

Dr. Susan Gray - SFWMD

Ed Cronyn - SFWMD

Steve Mortellaro - SFWMD

Dr. Doug Shaw - SFWMD

Ann Ertman - FDEP

Steve Krupa - SFWMD

Cynthia Plockelman - SFWMD

Robert M. Brown - SFWMD

Steven Hill - SFWMD

Dr. Garth Redfield - SFWMD

Dr. Dan Austin - FL Atlantic Univ.

Dr. Alex Marsh - FL Atlantic Univ.

Dr. Nick Aumen - SFWMD

James Beever, III - FG&FWFC

Peter Merritt - TCRPC

Kathy Trott - ACOE

Anita Bain - SFWMD

Ginger Sinn - EMS

Greg Sawka - SFWMD

John Vance - NRCS

Rob Robbins - SFWMD

Mia Van Horn - SFWMD

Patricia Sime - SFWMD

Brad Rieck - USF&WS

Jon Hillen

Tori Agramonte - ACOE

Chuck Schnepel - ACOE



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abs	tract	••••••		ì
Exe	cutive S	Summary	i	ii
Ack	nowled	gements	v	ii
Glos	sary	••••••		ί
1.0	Intro	duction		1
2.0	Methodology3			
	2.1	Method	ology for using WRAP	3
	2.2	Method	ology for Scoring and Assessing Habitat Variables	5
		2.2.1.1	Wildlife Utilization	6
		2.2.1.2	Wildlife Utilization Matrix	7
		2.2.2.1	Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy of Desirable Species	8
		2.2.2.2	Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy of Desirable Spp. Matrix 1	0
		2.2.3.1	Wetland Vegetative Ground Cover of Desirable Species 1	1
		2.2.3.2	Wetland Vegetative Ground Cover of Desirable Spp. Matrix 1	2
		2.2.4.1	Adjacent Upland/Wetland Buffer1	3
		2.2.4.2	Adjacent Upland/Wetland Buffer Matrix1	5
		2.2.5.1	Field Indicators of Wetland Hydrology 1	6
		2.2.5.2	Field Indicators of Wetland Hydrology Matrix1	8
		2.2.6.1	Water Quality Input and Treatment1	9
		2.2.6.2	Water Quality Input and Treatment Matrix 2	1
	2.3	Descrip	tion of Field Data Sheet2	3
		2.3.1	Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure Field Data sheet2	:5

3.0 Objectives of Testing the WRAP Procedure	27
3.1 Design Protocol for WRAP Variable Calibration	27
3.2 Results	29
4.0 Summary	31
5.0 Selected References	33
Appendix A - Species Habitat Requirement Table	A-1
Appendix B - Habitat Community Profiles	B-1
Appendix C - Common Freshwater Fishes of Southern Florida	C-1
Appendix D - Common Aquatic Insect Taxa	D-1
Appendix E - Common Exotic and Nuisance Plant Species Found in Wetlands of South Florida	E-1
Appendix F - WRAP Dataset	F-1

.

•

GLOSSARY

Agriculture – the science or art of cultivating the soil, producing crops, or raising livestock...

Anthropogenic activities - relating to, or resulting from the influence of human beings on nature.

Appropriate plant species - plant species which are appropriate for a given community type (i.e., Rhynchosphora tracyii in a wet prairie, Nymphaea odorata in a deepwater marsh).

Canopy - the plant stratum composed of all woody plants and palms with a trunk four inches or greater in diameter at breast height (4.5'), except vines.

Decreased hydroperiod - a decrease in the annual period of inundation, resulting in a change in the plant community composition and structure. The effect is usually an increase of transitional and upland plant species.

Desirable plant species - native plant species that are appropriate for a specific community type and provide benefits to wildlife in the forms of food, cover, and nesting potential.

Direct impacts - physical acts such as dredging or filling of wetlands.

Design protocol – the design of a scientific experiment or treatment.

Dry detention areas - created impoundments with a bottom elevation of at least one foot above control elevation of the area.

Duration of inundation - period of time inundation occurs on an annual basis.

Exotic plant species - plant species that are non-native, purposefully or accidentally introduced by humans to a geographic area. Many are invasive in nature and disrupt native plant communities.

Freshly mulched created mitigation area - the spreading of hydric soils (with viable native seed bank present) across a graded, newly constructed mitigation area.

Grass swales - a linear depression, usually designed to capture, store, and convey stormwater runoff.

Ground cover - the plant stratum composed of all plants not found in the canopy or subcanopy.

Heavily impacted – impacted by human activities to such a degree as to reduce significantly the functionality of a system.

High intensity commercial - land uses consisting of commercial with high levels of traffic volume. Traffic is constantly moving in and out of the area; including downtown areas, commercial office sites and regional malls.

High intensity land use - intensive agricultural operations such as dairy farming (including feedlots), and high intensity commercial projects. These land uses are significantly disruptive to wetland systems through direct and indirect impacts.

Highways - major road systems such as interstate highways, major arteries and thoroughfares.

Hydroperiod - annual period of inundation.

Hydrological indicators - indicators that may be used as evidence of inundation or saturation when evaluated with meteorological information, surrounding topography, and reliable hydrological data. Indicators include algal mats, aquatic mosses, aquatic plants, aufwachs (microscopic attached organisms), basal scarring, drift lines, elevated lichen lines, evidence of aquatic fauna, morphological plant adaptations, secondary flow channels, sediment deposition, vegetated tussocks and water marks.

Hydrology - water depth, flow patterns, and duration and frequency of inundation as influenced by precipitation, surface runoff and groundwater.

Impervious surface - surface which does not allow for the percolation of water (e.g., asphalt parking lots and roads, rooftops).

Improved pasture – rangeland comprised mostly of introduced pasture grasses. The recommended stocking density for improved pasture is one cow for every five acres of rangeland.

Inappropriate plant species - plant species which are not usually considered nuisance species, however may be indicative of other problems (i.e., improper hydrology) and may dominate a particular stratum (e.g., *Rubus* sp. in a cypress forested wetland). These plant species are not considered appropriate for a particular habitat.

Increased hydroperiod - increase in the annual period of inundation, resulting in a change in the plant community composition and structure, and which can include an increase in the duration and magnitude of inundation.

Indirect impacts - impacts to wetlands such as increased nutrient loading, altered hydrology, impacts to wetland buffer, development of adjacent areas or disturbances by air, light or noise pollution.

Industrial - manufacturing, shipping and transportation operations, sewage treatment plant facilities, water supply plants and solid waste disposal..

Infiltration trench - impoundment in which incoming runoff is temporarily stored until it gradually leaves the basin by infiltrating into the soils.

Institutional – schools, churches, libraries etc. Runoff concentrations are similar to low intensity commercial.

Intensively maintained - mowed, disked or similarly impacted on more than a semi-annual basis.

Invasive exotic plant species - exotic plant species (e.g., punk tree, Australian pine, Brazilian pepper, old-world climbing fern, etc.) that are invading and disrupting native plant communities in Florida.

Landscape setting - the type of land use that surrounds a wetland (i.e., agriculture, residential, commercial/industrial, undeveloped).

Low density residential - areas with lot sizes greater than one acre or less than one dwelling unit per acre.

Low intensity commercial - areas that receive minimal amounts of traffic volume where vehicles are parked for only a portion of the day; such areas include professional office sites and convenience stores.

Low intensity land use - land uses such as low density residential, citrus and low intensity commercial.

Low plant biomass density - minimal accumulation of living or dead plant material due to numerous factors including excessive burning, mowing, grazing, recent vegetation installation, inappropriateness of planted species, improper hydrology (including drought) and other human disturbances such as damage by off-road vehicles.

Magnitude of inundation - depth of inundation on an annual basis.

Mining - includes mining excavation, lake construction, and site development activities, resulting in the removal or clearing of vegetation.

Moderately intensive commercial – areas that receive moderate amounts of traffic volume for a portion of the day, such areas include small shopping centers and plazas.

Moderately intensive land use - includes single-family residential, multi-family residential, golf courses and golf course residential communities, industrial projects, highways and agricultural activities such as pasture and row crops.

Multi-family residential - residential land use consisting primarily of apartments, condominiums and cluster homes.

Non-invasive exotic plant species - exotic plant species which have not yet been shown to be invasive to natural communities.

Nuisance plant species - plant species which have the potential to dominate disturbed or created plant communities and form large vegetative colonies (e.g. cattails, spatterdock, primrose-willow).

Open space / natural undeveloped area – areas that are not developed and exhibit minimal human impact, such areas include parks and passive recreational areas.

Pretreatment or MSSW systems - constructed systems designed to pretreat water (i.e., remove suspended solids and reduce nutrient concentrations) prior to discharge. Systems can range in simplicity from grass swales and dry retention to secondary treatment and polishing ponds.

Proc GLM - Procedure General Linear Model.

Recreational – areas which have been developed for active recreational use (e.g., ballfields, soccer fields, tennis and volleyball courts, etc.). These areas typically have intensive ground maintenance programs.

Routinely maintained - mowed or similarly impacted on an annual basis.

Row Crops – agricultural practice of crops planted and harvested on an annual basis, excluding sugar cane (i.e., vegetable farms and plant nurseries).

SAS – Statistical Application Software.

Secondary productivity - macroinvertebrates, fishes and wildlife.

Single-family residential - detached dwelling units with lot sizes less than one acre and dwelling unit densities greater than one dwelling per acre; duplexes constructed on one-third to one-half acre also included.

Subcanopy - the plant stratum composed of all woody plants and palms with a trunk or main stem diameter at breast height (4.5') between one and four inches, except vines.

Undesirable plant species - exotic, nuisance or undesirable plant species for a given habitat.

Unimproved pasture - comprised mostly of native rangeland. The recommended stocking density is one cow per twenty-five acres of rangeland.

Wet detention areas- impoundments in which stormwater runoff is temporarily stored until it gradually leaves through an outflow control structure. A pool of water remains after a specific bleed-down period.

WETLAND RAPID ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE (WRAP)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The South Florida Water Management District's (District) former Management and Storage of Surface Waters (MSSW) and current Environmental Resource Permit (ERP) permitting processes have evolved to reflect increasing concern over preserving natural resources. Consequently, recently issued permits have contained a wide assortment of special conditions with varying degrees of emphasis on environmental protection. The District's post-permit compliance inspections indicate that determining permit compliance is generally a straight-forward process, but does not necessarily reflect successful enhancement, mitigation or preservation of a wetland/upland site.

Wetland Rapid Assessment Procedure (WRAP) is a matrix developed to assist in the regulatory evaluation of wetland sites that have been created, enhanced, preserved, or restored through the District's MSSW or ERP processes. This standardized matrix can be used in combination with professional judgment to provide an accurate and consistent evaluation of wetland sites. The evaluator must have a good understanding of Florida ecosystems (functions and species identification) in order for WRAP results to be valid. This current version of WRAP is the fifteenth version developed over a period of four years. Earlier versions indicated greater disparities in overall WRAP scores as a result of inadequate calibration descriptions for the variables. Once these disparities were identified, the calibration descriptions were rewritten and the procedure was retested. Over 400 observations were used to field test and refine the descriptions of the variables prior to the final testing of the procedure.

The WRAP matrix establishes a numerical ranking for individual ecological and anthropogenic factors (variables) that can strongly influence the success of mitigation projects. The numerical output for the variables is then used to evaluate the current wetland condition. The matrix can be used to evaluate a wide range of wetland/upland systems (e.g., emergent marsh, wet prairie, hardwood swamp. wet pine flatwoods etc.) but it is not intended to compare different wetland community types to each other (i.e., marsh to wet prairie). Each wetland type is rated according to its attributes and characteristics. Although an interactive association among variables does exist, variables within the matrix have not been individually weighted. Individual variables can be eliminated from the evaluation if the evaluator determines the specific parameter is not applicable.

Use of the WRAP matrix is intended to accomplish a number of objectives: to establish a simple, accurate, consistent and timely regulatory tool; to track trends over time (land use vs. wetland impacts) and to offer guidance for environmental site plan development.

WRAP is not a substitution for applied research science. It is a tool that can to be used by the regulatory community to ensure consistency and accuracy when evaluating a site through the regulatory process of resource permitting and post permit compliance. WRAP can be used as a tool to document baseline information for a site prior to development activities. WRAP input data consist primarily of field observations and professional experience. Some variables, such as exotic and nuisance plant coverage and adjacent upland/wetland buffer, can be quantified through interpretations of aerial photography or visual estimations.

·

-2-

2.0 METHODOLOGY

WRAP incorporates concepts from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's "Habitat Evaluation Procedures" (HEP, 1980) and the South Florida Water Management District's "Save Our Rivers Project Evaluation Matrix" (SOR, 1992).

Ecological communities (i.e., pine flatwoods, wet prairie, cypress dome, etc.) and their associated attributes provide food, cover and breeding sites for a variety of flora and fauna. The holistic concept of HEP is used to evaluate entire systems - both upland and wetland - and their interactive associations. HEP is based on the assumption that the value of a habitat can be evaluated at the species level by using a set of measurable variables that are important for a particular species. The use of HEP is restricted by the number of species models that have been developed and those species chosen for evaluation.

The SOR matrix was developed as a method of evaluating habitats to priortize the allocation of taxpayer dollars toward acquisition, restoration and management of sensitive lands. The matrix is used to evaluate sites using variables such as water management value, water supply potential, site manageability, habitat and species diversity, connectiveness, rare and endangered species, site vulnerability and human use.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services "Habitat Suitability Index" was utilized in determining specific habitat requirements for the fauna of Florida. This information has been included in Appendix A (Species Habitat Requirement Table) as a resource for evaluating the wildlife utilization variable of WRAP. In addition, community profiles for sites to be evaluated using WRAP are described in Appendix B. Common freshwater fishes and aquatic insect taxa associated with the specific habitats are found in appendices C and D, respectively.

WRAP variables include the following:

- Wildlife Utilization
- Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy of Desirable Species
- Wetland Vegetative Ground cover of Desirable Species
- Adjacent Upland/Wetland Buffer
- Field Indicators of Wetland Hydrology
- Water Quality Input and Treatment Systems

2.1 METHODOLOGY FOR USING WRAP

OFFICE EVALUATION

The WRAP evaluator completes the following steps before leaving the office:

1. Identify the project site. Acquire an aerial map for field use and delineation of the project boundaries.

- 2. Identify land uses adjacent to the project site (see Glossary for land use definitions).
 - a. Identify developmental encroachment and type.
 - b. Identify adjacent natural areas and plant communities using aerial photography.
 - c. Identify roads, canals and other features (i.e., wellfields, etc.) potentially isolating or impacting the site.
 - d. Identify any water quality pre-treatment systems.
- 3. Identify wetland areas within the project site.
 - a. Label wetland areas for future WRAP scoring.
 - b. Utilize soil maps to verify or identify depressional map units that may not be readily apparent from aerial maps.
 - c. Identify wetland types (i.e. cypress domes, wet prairie etc.) if possible. This may need to be done at the time of the site visit.
 - d. Identify access points to wetland areas.
 - e. Identify canals and ditches adjacent to the wetland areas.
 - f. Set up potential transects through wetland ecotypes. Transects would be warranted if a particular wetland exhibited a number of vegetative community types. The transects could then be used for future monitoring events, if required by the permit.
 - g. Identify any wildlife studies that have been conducted on the site or on adjacent areas.

In addition, the evaluator should review on-site hydrology, site management, maintenance plans, seasonal variability, droughts, fire and excessive rainfall and any other pertinent information.

FIELD EVALUATION

- 1. Walk a minimum of 50% of the wetland perimeter.
- 2. Visually inspect 100% of the wetland perimeter.
 - a. Look for signs of wildlife utilization (tracks, scats etc.) including direct observations.
 - b. Identify plant community composition (visual estimate) using predetermined transect (if necessary).
 - 1. Conduct a visual estimate of the plant species coverage and composition (including exotic and nuisance plants) for the wetland and adjacent areas.
 - 2. Note any shifts in plant communities such as encroachment of upland or transitional plant species into the wetland.
 - c. Identify any hydrologic indicators present (see Glossary for list).
- 3. Document field observations on field data sheet (Section 2.3.1) to establish baseline information for future reference.

WRAP SCORE

Score each wetland for the six variables using the guidelines presented below.

2.2 METHODOLOGY FOR SCORING AND ASSESSING HABITAT VARIABLES

Methodology for the Habitat Assessment Variable, is a series of discussions - one for each WRAP assessment variable. Following each description is a matrix containing a set of calibration descriptions and corresponding score points. A score of 3 is considered the best a system can function and 0 is for a system that is severely impacted and is exhibiting negligible attributes.

Each system must be evaluated on its own attributes and is not to be compared to a different type of system (i.e. wet prairie vs. marsh vs. cypress dome). An evaluator also has the option to score each parameter in half (0.5) increments. This provides the flexibility to score a variable that is not accurately described or fitted by the calibration description. Half increments are utilized on the point scale from 0.5 through 2.5.

If any variable does not apply to the habitat being rated, then the designation "NA" (not applicable) can be applied. When the designation "NA" is used for a specific variable it is omitted from the final calculations used to rate the habitat.

Each applicable variable is scored: the scores are totaled ($\sum V$) and then $\sum V$ is divided by the total of the maximum score for that variable ($\sum V$ max). The final rating score for "Habitat Assessment Variables" will be expressed numerically with a number between 0 and 1. The final rating score can be expressed mathematically as follows:

WRAP Score =	sum of the scores for the rated variables (V)	
	sum of maximum possible scores for the rated variables (Vmax)	
	also expressed as:	
	$\frac{\sum V}{\sum V \max}$	

2.2.1.1 WILDLIFE UTILIZATION

Introduction

Wetlands provide many species of wildlife with basic life sustaining needs such as water, food (i.e. macroinvertebrates and other wetland dependent species including plants) and nesting and roosting areas. While some animal species prefer uplands for nesting and rearing of young, their primary food sources are found within wetland systems. Water dependent species such as fish, some amphibians and birds have specific requirements with regard to duration and magnitude of hydrologic inundation in order to complete their life cycles. Not all wetland systems (e.g., hydric pines) provide habitat for extended hydroperiod dependent species.

It is important for the evaluator to understand the basic habitat requirements of south Florida fauna to know which species or signs might be observed during site visits. Appendix A lists the habitat requirements for a number of wildlife species found in south Florida. Included are food sources, protective cover, reproductive needs and habitat size. Appendices B (Habitat Community Profiles), C (Common Freshwater Fishes of Southern Florida) and D (Common Aquatic Insect Taxa) list additional wildlife species. In addition to these references, the evaluator should use any pertinent wildlife study with regards to the site or adjacent areas.

Though direct observation of wildlife utilization is ideal, it is not always possible due to the time constraints of the regulatory review process and the secrecy, mobility, habits and seasonality of many species of wildlife. The evaluator must rely on the presence of signs, including scat, tracks, rubs, and nests etc. In some instances an evaluator may have to assume that if habitat needs for a particular species are present then this species probably does frequent the site.

It is recommended that the evaluator use a D-frame dip net to determine if macroinvertebrates are present. Several sweeps through the wetland vegetation, in combination with direct observations of surface dwelling species, should provide an indication of the lower trophic levels. The presence and diversity of macroinvertebrates are quite variable depending on environmental factors such as temperature, pH, predation, and seasonality. During the dry season, the evaluator should look for available signs such as crayfish burrows and remnant exoskeletons of crayfish, dragonflies and apple snail shells. If those signs are not present, the reviewer must utilize the presence of wetland plant species as the primary indicator of on-site hydrology, influencing potential macroinvertebrate populations.

In this procedure, rabbits and rodents are considered small mammals; fox, opossum and raccoon are medium-sized mammals; and bobcat, otter, deer, bear and panther are large mammals. It is recognized that although some species (e.g., raccoon) have adapted well to urban encroachment, they also remain an intricate part of natural communities. Exotic animal species such as feral hogs are considered disruptive to natural systems, but that is not addressed in this procedure.

In order for a score of 3 to be achieved for a wetland site, the system must provide habitat for all levels of the foodchain associated with that particular system.

2.2.1.2 WILDLIFE UTILIZATION MATRIX

Objective

The wildlife utilization variable is a measure of observations and signs (i.e. scat, tracks etc.) of wildlife, primarily wetland dependent species. In addition, potential wildlife use through the presence of wildlife food sources, nesting areas, roosting areas, den trees and protective cover is also considered.

considered	
	Score
EXISTING WETLAND EXHIBITS NO EVIDENCE OF WILDLIFE	0
 Existing wetland is heavily impacted. 	
No evidence of wildlife utilization.	
 Little or no habitat for native wetland wildlife species. 	
EXISTING WETLAND EXHIBITS MINIMAL EVIDENCE OF WILDLIFE	
UTILIZATION	1
Minimal evidence of wildlife utilization.	
 Little habitat for birds, small mammals and reptiles. 	
Sparse or limited adjacent upland food sources.	
Site may be located in residential, industrial or commercial developments with	
frequent human disturbances.	
EXISTING WETLAND EXHIBITS MODERATE EVIDENCE OF WILDLIFE	
UTILIZATION	2
Evidence of wetland utilization by small or medium-sized mammals and reptiles	_
(observations, tracks, scat).	
 Evidence of aquatic macroinvertebrates, amphibians and/or forage fishes. 	
Adequate adjacent upland food sources.	
 Minimal evidence of human disturbance. 	
Adequate protective cover for wildlife.	
EXISTING WETLAND EXHIBITS STRONG EVIDENCE OF WILDLIFE	
UTILIZATION	3
 Strong evidence of wildlife utilization including large mammals and reptiles. 	
Abundant aquatic macroinvertebrates, amphibians and/or forage fishes.	
Abundant upland food sources.	
Negligible evidence of human disturbance.	
 Abundant cover and habitat for wildlife within the wetland or adjacent upland. 	•

frog-big stragge

2.2.2.1 WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY OF DESIRABLE SPECIES

Introduction

The wetland overstory/shrub canopy variable is a measure of the presence, health and appropriateness of wetland shrub and overstory canopy. Canopy is defined as the plant stratum composed of all woody plants and palms with a trunk four inches or greater in diameter at breast height (4.5'), except vines (Department of Environmental Protection, 1994). Subcanopy (which includes shrubs) is that plant stratum composed of all woody plants and palms with a trunk or main stem diameter at breast height (4.5') between one and four inches, except vines (Department of Environmental Protection, 1994). However, WRAP does include species of vines that may impact the overall health of the overstory/shrub canopy (air potato, old-world climbing fern, grapevine, etc.).

Most of these wetland plant species have adapted to a restricted range of hydrologic regimes (South Florida Water Management District, 1995). Wetland overstory/shrub canopy provides many benefits to wildlife species such as cover, food, nesting and roosting areas. Wetlands can vary dramatically in the composition and density of overstory/shrub canopy species (Appendix B). This variable should be used when there is significant overstory/shrub canopy (i.e., the coverage of canopy/shrub species should exceed twenty percent of the overall wetland acreage). The variable can also be used when there is potential (i.e. immature) canopy present or for a forested wetland that has been clear cut (silviculture).

WRAP categorizes the overstory/shrub canopy species into few, moderate and abundant trees present. Using these categories the reviewer evaluates the areal coverage and density of the overstory/shrub canopy for a particular wetland.

Certain wetland types characterized as deep-water marsh and wet prairie systems may exhibit limited or no canopy or shrub species (Myers, 1990, and Soil Conservation Service, 1987). In such situations, the variable would be designated "NA" (not applicable) and omitted from the final calculations.

The overall condition of an overstory/shrub canopy can be evaluated by observing indicators such as the presence of a large percentage of dead or dying trees or shrubs, soil subsidence, little or no seedling regeneration and the presence of an inappropriate understory plant species. Although short-term environmental factors such as flooding, drought and fire (Beever, unpublished) can temporarily impact the health of canopy, human activities such as flooding (i.e., stacking water in retention systems) or draining systems via ground water withdrawal and conveyance canals can permanently damage these systems.

Exotic and nuisance (E&N) plant species have become a serious problem in south Florida, outcompeting and replacing native plant communities. Wetlands containing E&N plant species are impacted in various ways depending on the type of wetland and the degree to which it is infested. There are approximately 200 species of exotic plants currently listed by the Florida's Exotic Pest Council's 1995 List of Florida's Most Invasive Species. WRAP has identified 20 species that most commonly occur in southern Florida, the species are listed in Appendix E. Many of the listed species can be found invading Florida wetlands. The predominant E&N species are: melaleuca, Brazilian pepper, old-world climbing fern and cattail.

The punk tree (Melaleuca quinquenervia) is an aggressive exotic tree that has infested tens of thousands of acres of south Florida wetlands. As melaleuca infests a wetland it changes the characteristics of the ecological community. Once established, melaleuca greatly reduces and in many cases eliminates the native understory of plant species.

Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius) is another aggressive exotic tree that is rapidly spread by seed (birds and mammals). The largest populations occur on disturbed sites such as abandoned wet agricultural fields and canal banks. Brazilian pepper grows into dense thickets, reducing nesting areas and foraging areas for wildlife utilization (Myers and Ewel, 1990) and shading out native plant species.

The old-world climbing fern (Lygodium microphyllum) can greatly impact wetland groundcover, shrub strata and overstory strata. Lygodium can blanket an area, greatly reducing (by shading) or eliminating native plant species and severely impacting wildlife utilization. In addition, the fern can act as a conduit for fire to reach the tree canopy resulting in extensive damage or death of the tree.

2.2.2.2 WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY OF DESIRABLE SPECIES MATRIX

Objective

The wetland overstory/shrub canopy variable is a measure of the health and appropriateness of the wetland shrub and overstory canopy. The functional assessment of the canopy strata is objectively evaluated based on food resources, cover, nesting potential, and appropriateness of the vegetative community. The canopy stratum is evaluated based on the habitat type. This variable may not be applicable to freshwater marsh and wet prairie habitats where overstory/shrub canopy is typically not present (less than 20%). By definition, undesirable plant species include exotic and nuisance plant species.

Score

NO DESIRABLE WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY TREES PRESENT

0

- No desirable wetland trees or shrub species.
- Negligible or little habitat support (i.e., roosting, nesting and foraging) from seedling trees (if present).
- Site subject to recent clear cutting with little evidence of native canopy plant regeneration.
- Greater than 75% undesirable plant species (including E&N species).

MINIMAL DESIRABLE WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY TREES PRESENT

1

- Large amounts (approx.. 50%) of undesirable tree or shrub species.
- Wetland overstory/shrub canopy immature but some potential for habitat support.
- Minimal signs of natural recruitment of native canopy and shrub seedlings.
- Few snags, or if many present, it may be an indication of hydrology problems or environmental impacts.
- Disease or insect damage in live canopy trees.

MODERATE AMOUNT OF DESIRABLE WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY TREES PRESENT

2

- Few (less than 25%) undesirable canopy trees/shrubs.
- Wetland overstory/shrub canopy is providing habitat support.
- Some evidence of natural recruitment of native canopy/shrub seedlings.
- Few snags or den trees.
- Healthy live canopy trees with minimal evidence of disease or insect damage.

ABUNDANT AMOUNT OF DESIRABLE WETLAND OVERSTORY/SHRUB CANOPY TREES PRESENT

3

- No exotic and less than 10% invasive canopy/shrub species present.
- Good habitat support provided by wetland overstory/shrub canopy.
- Strong evidence of natural recruitment of native canopy and shrub seedlings.
- · Few snags or den trees.
- Healthy live canopy trees with minimal evidence of disease or insect damage.

2.2.3.1 WETLAND VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER OF DESIRABLE SPECIES

Introduction

The ground cover variable is a measure of the presence, condition and appropriateness of the wetland ground cover. Ground cover will be defined as the plant stratum composed of all plants not found in the canopy or subcanopy, including vines. Ground cover vegetation can provide a refuge for macro-invertebrates, fish fry, reptiles, amphibians, small mammals and also can provide a food source for small mammals, waterfowl and reptiles.

Ground cover vegetation can be classified into herbaceous, graminoid, non-graminoid and woody species. Ground cover can also be characterized according to growth form such as emergent, floating-leaf, submersed and free-floating surface. Most wetland species have adapted to a restricted range of hydrologic regimes (South Florida Water Management District 1995). Species composition of groundcover varies among ecosystems although many species overlap (Appendix B).

The health and abundance of wetland ground cover (particularly herbaceous) can be significantly affected by extremes in wetland hydrology. Deepwater conditions created by improper wetland control elevations or natural variability can drown wetland plant species. Conversely, drawdown of wetlands (due to wellfields and adjacent canals) and natural variability can reduce the presence of many wetland species and allow for the encroachment of more upland/transitional species. The health of the vegetation can also be evaluated in terms of plant robustness. If the plants are chlorotic or spindly (provided they aren't just planted), it may be a sign of nutrient deficiency, improper soils or hydroperiod response.

Human activities (including hydrologic impacts and extensive nutrient inputs) can promote significant changes in wetland ground cover. Mowing of herbaceous and graminoid wetlands for aesthetics can interfere with seed production of certain plants. Grazing by cattle can influence the species composition of some wetlands due to the introduction of nuisance species of plants (i.e., torpedograss and other invasive grasses are tolerant of higher nutrient loads). In addition, cattle grazing and off-road vehicle traffic in wetlands create soil disturbance and compaction, as well as the destruction of native vegetation.

As previously noted, exotic and nuisance plant species have become a serious problem in south Florida by outcompeting and replacing native plant communities. Exotic and nuisance plant species such as torpedograss (*Panicun repens*), primrose willow (*Ludwigia species*), old-world climbing fern, and cattail (*Typha species*) can be extremely invasive and disruptive to the groundcover of wetland systems. E & N plant species are to be considered when evaluating this variable.

2.2.3.2 WETLAND VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER OF DESIRABLE SPECIES MATRIX

Objective

The vegetative ground cover variable is a measure of the presence, abundance, appropriateness and condition of vegetative ground cover within the wetland. By definition, undesirable plant species include exotic and nuisance plant species.

	Score
 NO DESIRABLE VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER IS PRESENT Ground cover is greater than 75% undesirable vegetation. Vegetative ground cover is intensively maintained, managed or impacted. Site a freshly mulched created mitigation area with no evidence of seed germination. 	0
 MINIMAL DESIRABLE VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER IS PRESENT Ground cover exhibits large amounts (approx. 50%) undesirable vegetation. Ground cover routinely managed for either aesthetics or agricultural production. Site a newly planted mitigation area with low plant biomass density. Site newly mulched with signs of seed germination. 	1
MODERATE AMOUNT OF DESIRABLE VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER IS PRESENT • Few undesirable groundcover plant species are present (less than 25%). • Ground cover slightly impacted (human induced effects). • Mulched or planted areas established with desirable native plant species.	2
 ABUNDANT DESIRABLE VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER IS PRESENT Less than 10% nuisance and inappropriate plant species with no exotic plant species. Minimal or no disturbances to ground cover. Area subjected to either managed or natural periodic burns for enhancement of ground cover. 	-3

2.2.4.1 ADJACENT UPLAND/WETLAND BUFFER

Introduction

The adjacent upland/wetland buffer variable is a measure of the adjacent habitat support for the subject wetland. This variable is evaluated based on the adjacent buffer size and the ecological attributes (i.e., sediment removal, nutrient uptake, cover, food source, and roosting areas) the buffer area is providing for the wetland system that is being assessed.

Wetland systems are subjected to disturbances that originate in adjacent upland areas. These disturbances can impact biological, chemical and physical attributes of wetlands (Castelle, et al, 1994). Buffers are vegetated areas located between the jurisdictional wetland line and adjacent areas subject to human disturbance. Adjacent wetlands also serve as wetland buffers. Buffers may consist of areas that are undisturbed native vegetation, areas wholly or partially cleared and revegetated, or areas with varying degrees of exotic and nuisance vegetation.

The criteria for determining adequate buffer sizes should be partly based on the quality of the wetland and the intensity of the adjacent land use (Castelle, et al, 1992). Smaller buffers are more acceptable when the adjacent land use is low intensity. Larger buffers are necessary when the adjacent land use intensity is high and the quality of the buffer is low. Buffers provide benefits to wetlands through sediment control (Shisler, et al, 1987), removal of excess nutrients and metals from runoff by both physical filtration and plant uptake (Madison, et al, 1992), and maintenance of habitat diversity for animal species that require the adjacent upland buffer to meet specific habitat needs (Naiman, et al, 1988).

Buffers also form a transitional zone between the wetland and the adjacent development. The edge effect theory proposes that the numbers of plant and animal species increase at the edge, due to overlap of adjacent habitats and the creation of unique edge-habitat niches (Castelle, et al, 1994). Finally, buffers can act to reduce direct human impact by reducing access to the wetland and blocking noise and light pollution.

Castelle, et al, (1994) state that buffers less than 15-30 feet provide little protection for aquatic resources. Buffers should be a minimum of 45-90 feet under most conditions. The lower range (45 feet) is necessary for maintenance of physical and chemical protection, while the upper range (90 feet) is a minimum for the protection of biological components. Habitat Suitability Index models have demonstrated the need for buffers between 10 and 350 feet depending on the resource needs of the particular species.

Buffer quality is also very important. A good buffer might contain a mixture of native tree, shrub and ground cover plant species. This would provide a visual and sound barrier for the wetland as well as a food source, cover and nesting habitat for wildlife species. In addition, the ground cover plant species would act as a filtration system for incoming surface water. An example of a low quality buffer would be a ring of dense Brazilian pepper around the wetland. The dense growth of the pepper allows little wildlife utilization. In addition, little or no ground cover can grow in the dense shade.

Large buffers (greater than 300 feet) consisting primarily of pasture grasses may provide spatial protection and some sediment control for wetlands. However, these types of buffers provide less benefit as cover, food source and roosting areas than a good quality buffer.

This procedure considers high volume traffic roads or highways as a severance to existing buffers. Low volume traffic roads (i.e., dirt maintenance or fire break roads) are considered as a continuation to the existing buffer.

2.2.4.2 ADJACENT UPLAND /WETLAND BUFFER MATRIX

Objective

The adjacent upland /wetland buffer variable is a measure of the area adjacent to the subject wetland and the landscape setting of the wetland. This variable is evaluated based on the adjacent buffer size and the ecological attributes (i.e. cover, food source and roosting areas for wildlife) that this area is providing in association with the wetland that is being assessed.

	<u>Score</u>
NO ADJACENT UPLAND/WETLAND BUFFER • Buffer non-existent.	0
 ADJACENT UPLAND/WETLAND BUFFER AVERAGES 30 FEET OR LESS, CONTAINING DESIRABLE PLANT SPECIES Less than 30 feet average width. Mostly desirable plant species which provide cover, food source, and roosting areas for wildlife. Not connected to wildlife corridors. 	1
 Greater than 300 feet but dominated (greater than 75%) by invasive exotic or nuisance plant species. ADJACENT UPLAND/WETLAND BUFFER AVERAGES GREATER THAN 30 FEET BUT LESS THAN 300 FEET, CONTAINING PREDOMINANTLY DESIRABLE PLANT SPECIES Greater than 30 feet but less than 300 feet average width. Contains desirable plant species which provide cover, food, and roosting areas for wildlife. Portions connected with contiguous offsite wetland systems, wildlife corridors. Greater than 300 feet but dominated (greater than 75%) by undesirable noninvasive plant species (e.g., pasture grasses). 	2
ADJACENT UPLAND/WETLAND BUFFER AVERAGES GREATER THAN 300 FEET CONTAINING PREDOMINANTLY DESIRABLE PLANT SPECIES • Greater than 300 feet wide average width.	3

-15-

• Contains predominantly desirable plant species (less than 10% nuisance, and no exotic species)

system or areas that are large enough to support habitat for large mammals or reptiles.

for cover, food, and roosting areas for wildlife.

• Connected to wildlife corridor or contiguous with offsite wetland

2.2.5.1 FIELD INDICATORS OF WETLAND HYDROLOGY

Introduction

Wetland hydrology can be a difficult variable to evaluate given the limited timeframes associated with the regulatory process. Several field indicators enable an evaluator to make inferences with regard to wetland hydrology. The duration and magnitude of inundation within a wetland system can be estimated based on plant morphological responses, plant community structure and soil morphology.

<u>Plant Morphological Responses</u> - Several wetland plant species have developed morphological adaptations that enable them to survive extended periods of inundation. Many wetland tree and shrub species develop adventitious roots as a response to the duration of inundation. Extended periods of inundation promote the development of these secondary roots along the basal stem of the plant. Adventitious roots are formed when the primary root stock is inundated to the extent that anaerobic conditions severely reduce root oxygen and nutrient transport. In addition, recent cypress tree knee growth is an indication of extended inundation. The bark on the apex of the knee will be spread exposing light brown or tan new growth tissue.

Other indicators include small plant species that colonize on trunks of trees at the seasonal high water line. These hydrologic indicators can be used to assist in the determination of the magnitude of inundation (Hale, 1984). Lichen lines colonize down to the seasonal high water mark. Conversely, moss collars predominantly colonize up to the seasonal high water mark.

Plant Community Structure (PCS) - The plant community structure is a composition of the ground cover and the overstory/shrub canopy. The plant community structure (PCS) can be used to make inferences about hydrologic impacts resulting from an increased or a reduced hydroperiod. The evaluator uses the PCS to assess the plant species for a specific habitat. Plant community profiles associated with specific wetland habitats for use with this procedure are in Appendix B. Although this list is not inclusive, it includes plant species typically associated with a specific wetland system.

Transitional plant species such as slash pine (*Pinus elliottii*), wax myrtle (*Myrica cerifera*) and saltbush (*Baccharis halimifolia*) encroaching into the wetland can be cautiously used as evidence of recent decreases in the hydroperiod (Rochow, 1994, and Mortellaro, et al, 1995). Evaluation of these transitional tree and shrub species allows an observer to make some inference about the wetland hydroperiod over the last 1 - 3 years. When evaluating the ground cover plant community, the evaluator should remember that transitional changes within the plant community can occur within one year (Thibodeau and Nickerson, 1985). Care must be taken to distinguish effects of recent drought from more permanent impacts on hydrology.



Conversely, some wetland systems can be impacted by an increased hydroperiod. For example, an increased hydroperiod for a wet prairie will result in an extensive die-off of St. Johns Wort. This particular plant species is then replaced with deeper marsh plants such as maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*), water lilies (*Nymphaea odorata*) and cattails. In addition, if forested wetland systems are maintaining a proper hydroperiod, then seedling regeneration will be occurring either in openings within the canopy or on the periphery of the systems.

Before making accurate inferences about a reduced or increased hydroperiod, the evaluator should determine that the natural variability (e.g., extended droughts, excessive rainfalls, fires, etc.) is not causing the observed plant community response. Having knowledge of the average annual rainfall for the last 3 - 5 years will assist an evaluator with regard to this variable.

<u>Soil Morphology</u> - Soil morphology is used to evaluate soil development and characteristics. A reduced hydroperiod has a direct impact on organic soil development and can result in soil subsidence due to oxidation (Synder and Davidson, 1994). When significant oxidation occurs there may be tree falls, excessive tree leanings, exposed roots at trunk bases and gaps beneath cypress knees.

Alteration of Wetland Hydrology - Human induced impacts that can alter the hydrology of wetland systems include roads, drainage canals, levees, wellfields and changes to the drainage basin. These alterations typically manifest themselves in a noticeable shift in the wetland vegetative community. Roads can interrupt historical sheetflow patterns and decrease the amount of contributing basin to a wetland system or can block the natural flow and over-inundate the system. Drainage canals and wellfields are designed to move volumes of water from one area to another, whether it is for flood control or consumption. Both systems have hydrological cones of influence. The permeability of soils and the underlying geology in the vicinity of the wetland will determine the amount of drawdown these activities will cause in a wetland.

Changes to the contributing drainage basin can include increasing the amount of impervious surface (i.e., roofs, roads, parking lots, etc.) which in turn can increase the amount of water entering the wetland. This increase in hydrological input is sometimes accompanied by large decreases in the delivery time to the system which may result in wide fluctuations in water level thus affecting the survivorship or overall health of the plant species. Conversely, project construction can decrease the size of the contributing basin, thus decreasing hydrological inputs.

Wetland systems in agricultural land use settings are sometimes preserved within retention areas. Adverse impacts can occur to these wetlands through the stacking of water (holding water levels above control elevation) or pumping too much water into the system. Both of these activities can drown or shift the species composition of the wetland.

2.2.5.2 FIELD INDICATORS OF WETLAND HYDROLOGY MATRIX

Objective

This variable is a measure of the hydrologic regime based on observed field indicators for the subject wetland including hydroperiod duration and magnitude. Wetland hydrology is generally interpreted using vegetative indictors. In addition, hydrologic indicators such as lichen lines, algal mats, adventitious roots and basal scarring are also utilized. Signs of altered hydrology may include encroachment of upland and transitional plant species into the wetland.

	<u>Score</u>
 HYDROLOGIC REGIME HAS BECOME SEVERELY ALTERED WITH STRONG EVIDENCE OF SUCCESSION TO TRANSITIONAL/UPLAND OR OPEN WATER PLANT COMMUNITY Wetland hydrology severely altered. Hydroperiod inadequate to support wetland plant species for the particular community type. Strong evidence that upland plants are encroaching into the historical wetland area as a result of a decreased hydroperiod. Die-off of wetland plant species as a result of an increased hydroperiod. In sites with an organic soil substrate, there is substantial soil subsidence. 	0
 HYDROLOGIC REGIME INADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN A VIABLE WETLAND SYSTEM Site hydroperiod inadequate to maintain the system that is being created, enhanced or preserved. Succession of wetland plant species into transitional/upland plant species. Appropriate vegetation stressed or dying from too much or too little water. In sites with an organic soil substrate, there is evidence of soil subsidence. 	1
 HYDROLOGIC REGIME ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN A VIABLE WETLAND SYSTEM. EXTERNAL FEATURES MAY AFFECT WETLAND HYDROLOGY Wetland hydroperiod adequate, although conditions possibly interfering with or influencing the hydroperiod of site (i.e., canals, ditches, swales, berms, reduced drainage area, culverts, pumps, control elevation and wellfields) present. Plants healthy, and exhibit no stress from too little water or too much water. In sites with an organic soil substrate, there is little evidence of soil subsidence. 	2
 HYDROLOGIC REGIME ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN A VIABLE WETLAND SYSTEM Plants healthy with no stress resulting from an improper hydroperiod. Wetland exhibits a natural hydroperiod. Wetland not adjacent to canals, ditches, swales, berms, wellfields or other negative impacts to the wetland within the landscape setting. In sites with an organic soil substrate, there is no sign of soil subsidence. 	3

2.2.6.1 WATER QUALITY INPUT AND TREATMENT

Introduction

Evaluating water quality within the limited timeframes of the regulatory process is a very difficult task. Without a long term water quality data-set it is virtually impossible to make any accurate inferences about water quality within a wetland system. However, literature review indicated that relatively comprehensive information was available for several water quality constituents including: total nitrogen, total phosphorus, ortho-phosphorus, BOD, TSS, total lead and total zinc (Harvey, 1990).

For these selected constituents, runoff water quality varies with land use (Whalen and Cullum, 1988). The WRAP procedure utilizes nineteen land use categories to evaluate stormwater quality runoff and associated impacts. The land use categories were taken from *Stormwater Loading Rate Parameters for Central and South Florida* (Harvey, 1990). The land use categories used in WRAP include the following: low-density residential, single-family residential, multi-family residential, golf course, low intensity commercial, moderately intensive commercial, high intensity commercial, industrial, institutional, highways, citrus grove, sugar cane, row crops, improved pasture, unimproved pasture / rangeland, dairy and feedlot, mining, recreational, and open space/undeveloped natural areas. Each of these categories is defined in the Glossary. Using these land use designations is an important part of applying this Procedure in the field.

Pollutant loading rates from open space / undeveloped natural areas are much lower than any other category. Loading rates for residential land uses increase steadily for each pollutant category from low-density to single-family to multi-family. These land use categories and their associated loading rates have been used within this Procedure to calibrate the water quality variable. The previously mentioned land use designations represent the vast majority of land uses within central and south Florida.

In addition to land use types, the efficiencies associated with different water management systems to remove pollutants must be considered. Treatment for the pollution in stormwater runoff is required in the state of Florida through the regulatory process. There are several possible treatment methods. Wet detention is the most commonly used mechanism, with approximately 70 percent of the water management systems permitted in south Florida being wet detention systems. Dry detention, and/or retention and some form of infiltration/filtration are the other types of treatment mechanisms that are also commonly used (Whalen and Cullum, 1988).

Wet detention systems which include grass swales achieve up to 90 percent reduction for nutrients and solids. Wet detention basins provide good to excellent pollutant removal efficiencies. The standing water column provides for several physiochemical processes to achieve pollutant removal (Whalen and Cullum, 1988).

Treatment of stormwater by use of dry retention basins is generally considered to be inferior to that achieved by wet detention. The reason for the low removal of pollutants is most likely the absence of a standing water column, which provides a means for more extensive biological treatment (Whalen and Cullum, 1988).

If the treatment system is not operating as designed (i.e., flows bypassing the system, inoperative control structure, non-functional dry retention, impacts from off-road vehicles), the evaluator should consider this information in calculating the parameter score.

The water quality component of WRAP is used to evaluate the adjacent land use type (LU) and its contribution to the surface water budget for the subject wetland. WRAP does not consider groundwater inputs when calculating the water budget for a wetland system. This is due to the difficulties of quantifying and identifying groundwater sources.

The type of surface water management pretreatment (PT) associated with the subject land use is also considered. Both LU and PT are independently assessed and then summed. The summed total is then divided by two to calculate the water quality input and treatment (WQIT) score. Many times either on-site conditions are not accurately described or a combination of land uses exist adjacent to the subject wetland. In these instances the evaluator must evaluate each of the surrounding land use(s), and the surface water management system associated with each land use. For wetland systems that are wholly contained within a single land use, 100% of the water budget will be attributed to that land use.

The WQIT score is mathematically expressed as follows:

(%* surrounding x LU1) + (%* surrounding x LU2) +... (%* surrounding x LU(n)) = LU total and,

(%* surrounding x PT1) + (%* surrounding x PT2) +... (%* surrounding x PT(n)) = PT total hence,

WQIT = (LU total + PT total)/2

The scores for the PT systems are giver with the assumption that the systems are built, operated and maintained in accordance with all applications and guidelines.

* % expressed as a decimal

2.2.6.2 WATER QUALITY INPUT AND TREATMENT MATRIX

Objective

The water quality variable of the matrix is a measure of the quality of the surface water flowing into the subject wetland from adjacent land uses (LU). The percent and type of surrounding land uses as well as any on-site pretreatment (PT) of surface waters prior to the discharge into wetlands is considered.

The scores for land use types are as follows:

LAND USE CATEGORY*	SCORE
open space / natural undeveloped areas	3
unimproved pasture / rangeland	2.5
citrus grove	2
sugarcane	2
low density residential	2
low intensity commercial	2
institutional	2
single-family residential	1.5
recreational	1.5
golf course	1.5
moderately intensive commercial	1.5
highways	1
industrial	1
mining	1
multi-family residential	1
improved pasture	1
row crop	1
high intensity commercial	0.5
dairy and feedlot	0

^{*}see Glossary for definitions

The scoring increments for treatment systems are as follows:

PRE-TREATMENT CATEGORY	SCORE	
natural undeveloped area	3	
berms which prevent runoff from entering wetland	2.5	
wet detention with swales	2.5	
wet detention with dry retention	2.5	

PRE-TREATMENT CATEGORY (CONTINUED)	SCORE
combination grass swales with dry retention	2
turbidity during construction	1.5
wetland system is part of treatment	1.5
grass swales only	1
dry retention only	1
no treatment	0

EXAMPLE FORMULA FOR WATER QUALITY INPUT AND TREATMENT VARIABLE (WQIT)

For the WRAP procedure, the permitted land use (or contributing basin) is considered the primary hydrological input to the wetland system. For example, a wetland is surrounded on 75 % of its perimeter by single-family residential (LU1) and 25% by an institutional land use (LU2). The surface water management systems of both projects discharge into the common wetland. The surface water management system for the single-family development consists of grass swales, and dry and wet detention (PT1). The surface water management system for the institutional land use consists of grass swales and dry detention (PT2). Both surface water management systems have been constructed and maintained in accordance with their permits. For the above example the WQIT would be calculated as follows:

Example: (%* surrounding LU1 x land use category score) + (%* surrounding LU2 x land use category score) = LU total

Hence:
$$(.75 \times 1.5) + (0.25 \times 2.0) = LU \text{ total}$$

Therefore:
$$(1.13) + (0.5) = 1.63 = LU \text{ total}$$

plus,

(%* surrounding LU1 x pre-treatment category score) + (%* surrounding LU2 x pre-treatment score = PT total

Hence:
$$(.75 \times 2.5) + (0.25 \times 2.0) = PT \text{ total}$$

Therefore:
$$(1.88) + (0.5) = 2.38 = PT \text{ total}$$

Hence: (LU total + PT total) / 2 = WQIT

Therefore:
$$(1.63 + 2.38)/2 = 2.0 = WQTT$$

^{* %} expressed as a decimal

2.3 DESCRIPTION OF FIELD DATA SHEET

When assessing a wetland system using WRAP it is important that the evaluator document site information and field observations on the field data sheet (section 2.3.1). The following is a description of the information required when filling out the field data sheet.

<u>Permit Number</u> - any identification number for the site, either permit number or application number. This number must be inherent to a specific project so it can be used to identify the project area accurately for future assessments.

Project - the project name or parcel name of the wetlands being evaluated.

<u>Date</u> – the date on which the evaluation was conducted.

Evaluator - the name of the individual who preformed the evaluation.

Wetland Type - the type of wetland system (e.g., wet prairie, cypress dome, etc.) being assessed.

<u>Land use</u> – the permitted land use for the subject project.

<u>Wildlife Utilization</u> – a measure of the wildlife utilization within the subject wetland. Noted signs and observations should be documented within the "Comments" section to support the wildlife utilization assessment.

<u>Wetland Canopy</u> – a measure of the overstory/shrub canopy for the subject wetland. Field observations should be documented in the "Comments" section to substantiate the assessment of the wetland canopy variable.

Wetland Ground Cover - a measure of the wetland ground cover for the subject wetland. Field observations should be documented in the "Comments" section to substantiate the assessment of the wetland ground cover variable.

<u>Habitat Support/Buffer</u> - a measure of the habitat buffer for the subject wetland. Field observations should be documented in the "Comments" section to substantiate the assessment of the habitat support/buffer variable.

<u>Field Hydrology</u> - a measure of the field indicators of hydrology for the subject wetland. Field observations should be documented in the "Comments" section to substantiate the assessment of the field hydrology variable.

<u>WQ Input & Treatment</u> - a measure of the water quality input and surface water pre-treatment for the subject wetland. Field observations should be documented in the "Comments" section to substantiate the assessment of the water quality variable.

<u>WRAP Score</u> – the overall functional score for the subject wetland. Each variable score is summed and then divided by the total possible maximum score for the variables (See Section 2.2). The final WRAP score is expressed as a number between zero and one.

2.3.1 WETLAND RAPID ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE FIELD DATA SHEET

Permit Number	Project	Date	Evaluator	Wetland Type
Land Use	Wil	diffe Utilization (WU)	Wetland Canopy (O/S)	WL Grindover (GC)
Habital Support/Buff	fer F	rield Hydrology (HYD)	WQ Input & Trimer	
		WRAP Score		
		Comments		
WU -				
O/S -				
GC -				
BUFFER -				
LIVE				
HYD -				
wq -				

3.0 OBJECTIVES OF TESTING THE WRAP PROCEDURE

- 1. Determine the precision and accuracy of the procedure among individual evaluators using a two-way Analysis of Variance (Anova) of unequal class sizes;
- 2. Determine if collinearity existed between the WRAP variables;
- 3. Determine graphically if the functional attributes measured in WRAP respond to human activities.

3.1 DESIGN PROTOCOL FOR WRAP VARIABLE CALIBRATION

The goal of establishing a design protocol for WRAP was to verify that attributes of wetland systems that were being measured responded to human actions. Data were collected and then analyzed both statistically and graphically to attempt to link human activity within project sites to responses within the wetland systems.

Ten land use designations were originally selected in the attempt to determine the degree of impact associated with the wetland variables identified in WRAP. The ten land use designations described in WRAP were as follows:

- Agriculture
- High Intensity Commercial
- Highway
- Industrial
- Institutional
- Low Density Residential
- Low Intensity Commercial
- Multi-Family Residential
- Recreational/Open Space
- Single-Family Residential

A design protocol was implemented within three geographical regions of south and central Florida: the Ft. Myers region, the Orlando region and the West Palm Beach region (which coincide with the location of the District's largest service centers). Evaluators from each of the service centers established three sites for each of the ten different land use designations.

Of the three sites selected for each land use, one was evaluated prior to any development activity, while the other two were within completed permitted projects. Altogether 27 sites were evaluated within each geographical region, for a total of 81 sites District-wide in the initial testing of this protocol.

Evaluations of the three sites per land use prior to development will be used to track trends over time and to document human activities and associated responses of the wetland attributes used in WRAP. In addition, the undeveloped sites will be used to test the validity of WRAP as a predictive tool for

evaluating wetland impacts, as a result of project development. The WRAP prediction scores will be validated as each project is completed and as-built WRAP scores are compared to the predicted scores.

The evaluation of the remaining six sites per land use, constructed projects, will be used to validate whether or not the selected wetland attributes show a response to human influences.

The testing protocol required 3 - 5 evaluators per site to collect the proper data for the statistical analysis. A minimum of 250 data points was selected as the goal for the initial testing of WRAP.

In addition, five wetland types were selected in conjunction with the ten land uses for preliminary testing of the WRAP. The types were selected as representative wetland communities that had been typically impacted by development within each geographic region. The wetland types selected per region were as follows:

Service Center

Wetland Community Type

West Palm Beach

Wet Prairie, Emergent Marsh

Orlando

Cypress Swamp, Mixed Hardwood

Fort Myers

Wet Prairie, Hydric Pines

WRAP evaluators selected testing sites based on the availability of the regional wetland community types and the ten designated land uses. In the future, additional wetland types and adjacent land uses will be evaluated within each region.

WRAP evaluator training consisted of a two-day course. A half a day was spent introducing the Procedure along with selecting training sites for field evaluation. The selected sites were reviewed in the office using the procedures outlined in WRAP. The remaining day and a half was spent in the field evaluating between 6-8 sites in accordance with the field evaluation procedures outlined in WRAP.

The collected data are being evaluated graphically by comparing WRAP scores for individual wetland attributes (y-axis) to specific land use designations (x-axis). This will assist in substantiating the selection of each attribute and the way human activities affect it.

Statistically, a two-way Anova of unequal class sizes was applied to the data set. This Anova design was used for each evaluator at each wetland, and the error associated with differences in evaluator scores estimated as a component of variance. When calculating components of variance from an Anova model the variance is partitioned among each of the sources of variance.

The statistical Anova model for WRAP is as follows:

WRAP Score = Wetland_i + Evaluator_j + error_k

where:

Wetland_i = resource condition at the *ith* wetland

Evaluator_i = effect of the *jth* Evaluator

From this model the variance can be estimated for each component. If the variance resulting from differences in the wetlands is much larger than the variance resulting from different evaluators, then the differences in evaluators are not important. If observer variance is large relative to the associated error or site differences, then the protocol needs to be reevaluated.

3.2 RESULTS

Statistical Summary

A total of 303 data points was used in the preliminary testing of WRAP. This included 81 different wetland sites with an average 3-5 evaluators per site, 8 different wetland communities and 19 land use designations.

The data were found to be normally distributed. Preliminary evaluation of the data using SAS procedure Proc GLM was used to determine procedure repeatability (two-way Anova). The analysis results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of two-way Anova statistical analysis of WRAP.

Source of variance	p value	% variance
Site	.00001	98.6
Observer	0.7751	-0
Error		1.4
R square $= 0.96$	Range = $0.31-0.95$	Mean = 0.64

The data results indicate the current procedure is highly repeatable among evaluators, with 98.6 % of the variability explained by differences in sites. The variability caused by differences in evaluators was approximately 0. It should be noted that although 3-5 evaluators visited each of the 81 different sites, a total of 17 different evaluators participated in the data collection.

Analysis for multicollinearity and correlation among the variables yielded no significant correlations. Although the testing has indicated no correlation among the variables, the authors have chosen to eliminate the Exotic and Nuisance Plant variable as a separate variable and incorporate its components into the Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy, Wetland Vegetative Ground Cover and Adjacent Upland/Wetland Buffer variables. This change will eliminate some of the confusion in using the procedure and in the perception that the presence of exotic and nuisance plant species has been unfairly weighted.

During the development and testing of WRAP it became apparent that this type of procedure is an effective wetland functional training tool for small groups (< 6 people). In many instances, the groups consisted of individuals with different areas of expertise. This resulted in significant and open discussion about each variable. A comment frequently heard during the testing of WRAP was that the procedure requires the evaluator to evaluate each variable independently. This may assist in eliminating personal bias when evaluating wetland systems.

Additional graph analysis is being used in an attempt to determine how the functional attributes measured in WRAP respond to human induced activities.

4.0 SUMMARY

As indicated by the statistical results, WRAP is a repeatable assessment procedure. The majority (98.6%) of the error associated with the analysis was with differences between sites, not evaluators. The development of any functional assessment procedure requires an iterative process to assess a wide assortment of field conditions.

In addition, each individual variable was shown to be independent. The authors have chosen to eliminate the Exotic and Nuisance Plant variable and incorporate its components into the Wetland Overstory/Shrub Canopy, Wetland Vegetative Ground Cover and Adjacent Upland/Wetland Buffer variables to reduce confusion in using the assessment procedure.

The overall objective in the development of WRAP is to utilize as much information as possible, both from literature reviews and professional experience, and organize it in the form of a simple but accurate matrix. In order for a functional assessment procedure to be accepted by the regulatory community, the procedure has to be simple enough to use without collecting time-consuming field data and must be able to be completed within a relatively short time period.

It is important to follow the office and field procedures outlined in Section 2.1 when applying WRAP. The testing of the Procedure revealed that the majority of the differences (e.g., identifying surrounding land uses, water quality treatment, etc.) resulted from an inadequate review of the project site prior to the actual field visit. A thorough office evaluation of the project site will help reduce these disparities, as well as reveal any on-going maintenance programs or wildlife studies done for the site or adjacent areas.

Field evaluations are used to verify the information obtained from the office review. Frequently, the field inspections reveal that the water quality treatment component has not been implemented or maintained in accordance with permit design. In these cases, the evaluators must adjust their scores accordingly. It is crucial that the evaluator documents, on the field data sheet, the justification for the revised scores. It is recommended that after conducting a WRAP evaluation, the evaluator keeps the score sheet, with field notes and justification for each variable score, for future reference. Good field notes will also be useful when evaluating the system on a long-term basis.

One of the original goals of testing the design protocol was to evaluate wetland functions impacts associated with specific land uses. Once the testing of WRAP was complete, it became apparent that for most land uses the data set was inadequate to make any inferences in this regard. However, as additional data are collected, further analysis will be conducted in an attempt to establish a relationship between land use and wetland function.

Finally, the testing of WRAP identified nine additional land uses that were not originally identified in the "Design Protocol for WRAP Variable Calibration" (See Section 3.1). Most were multiple land uses with variations of the original ten land uses.

5.0 SELECTED REFERENCES

Allen, A.W. 1987. Habitat suitability index models: barred owl. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.143. 17 pages.

Allen, A.W. 1984. Habitat suitability index models: Eastern cottontail. U.S. and Fish Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.66. 23 pages.

Allen, A.W. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: fox squirrel. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.18. 11 pages.

Allen, A.W. 1987. *Habitat suitability index models: gray squirrel*. revised. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.135. 16 pages. [First printed as: FWS/OBS-82/10.19, July 1982].

Armbruster, M.J. 1987. Habitat suitability index models: greater sandhill crane. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.140. 26 pages.

Beever, J.W. III and L.B. Beever. *The Effects of Annual Burning on the Understory of a Hydric Slash Pine Flatwoods in Southwest Florida* (unpublished). Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Punta Gorda., Florida. 26 pages.

Boyle, K.A. and T.T. Fendley. 1987. *Habitat suitability index models: bobcat*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.147. 16 pages.

Brinson, M.M. 1993. A Hydrogeomorphic Classification for Wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experimental Station: Wetland Research Program WRP-DE-4. Vicksburg, Mississippi. 101 Pages.

Broward County Department of Natural Resource Management. 1993. Wetland Benefit Index. Ft. Lauderdale, Florida. 4 pages.

Castelle, A.J., C. Conolly, M. Emers, E.D. Metz, S. Meyer, M. Witter, S. Mauermann, T. Erickson, and S.S. Cooke. 1992. *Wetland Buffers: Use and Effectiveness*. Publ. 92-10. Adolfson Association for Shorelands and Coastal Zone Management Program. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington. 171 pages.

Castelle, A.J., A.W. Johnson, and C. Conolly. 1994. Wetland and Stream Buffer Size Requirements - A Review. Journal of Environmental Quality. Pages 878-882.

Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council. 1995. Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council's 1995 Most Invasive Species. Boca Raton, Florida. 10 pages.

Florida Department of Environmental Regulation (DER). 1994. Delineation of Landward Extent of Wetlands and Surface Waters. Section 62-340.100, Florida Administrative Code. 49 pages.

Graves, B.M. and S.H. Anderson. 1987. *Habitat suitability index models: bullfrog*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.138. 22 pages.

Hale, M.E., Jr. 1984. The Lichen Line and High Water Levels in a Fresh Water Stream in Florida. The Bryologist 37(3), Pages 261-265.

Harper, H.H. 1994. Stormwater Loading Rate Parameters for Central and South Florida.. Environmental Research & Design, Inc. Orlando, Florida. 59 pages.

Lodge, T.E., R.B. Darling, D.J. Fall, and H.O. Hillestad. January 15-22, 1994. Seminar entitled "A Wetland Evaluation Method for the Everglades: Impact to Mitigation. Law Companies." A Presentation by Law Companies, Inc. at the Florida Water Policy and Management, Telluride, Colorado.

Lodge, T.E. 1994. The Everglades Handbook: Understanding the Ecosystem. St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Florida. Pages 25-26.

Lewis, J.C. 1983. *Habitat suitability index models: roseate spoonbill*. U.S. Fish. and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.50. 16 pages.

Madison, C.E., R.L. Blevins, W.W. Frye, and B.J. Barfield. 1992. *Tillage and Grass Filter Strip Effects upon Sediment and Chemical Losses*. Page 331. In Agronomy abstracts. American Society of Agronomists. Madison, Wisconsin.

Marsh, A. 1994. Common Freshwater Fishes of Southern Florida (unpublished). Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida. 1 page.

Marsh, A. 1994. Common Aquatic Insect Taxa (unpublished). Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida. 2 pages.

Mortellaro, S., S. Krupa, L. Fink, and J. Van Horn. 1995, Literature Review on the Effects of Groundwater Drawdown on Isolated Wetlands. Technical Publication No. 96-01. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, Florida. 44 Pages.

Myers, R. L. and J.J. Ewel (editors). 1990. *Ecosystems of Florida*. University Presses of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. 765 pages.

Newsom, J.D., T. Joanen, and R.J. Howard. 1987. *Habitat suitability index models: American alligator*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.136. 14 pages.

Peterson, A. 1985. Habitat suitability index models: bald eagle. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.126. 25 pages.

Prose, B.L. 1985. Habitat suitability index models: belted kingfisher. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.87. 22 pages.

Rochow, T.F. 1994. The Effects of Water Table Level Change on Freshwater Marsh and Cypress Wetlands in the Northern Tampa Bay Region. Southwest Florida Water Management District. Technical Report 1994-1, Brookesville, Florida. 46 Pages.

Schroeder, R.L. 1985. *Habitat suitability index models: pine warbler* 1st rev. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.28. 9 pages. [First printed September 1982].

Schröeder, R.L. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: pileated woodpecker. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.39. 15 pages.

Schoeder, R.L. 1985. *Habitat suitability index models: Eastern wild turkey*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.106. 33 pages.

Shisler, J.K., R.A. Jordan, and R.N. Wargo. 1987. Coastal Wetland Buffer Delineation. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources, Trenton, New Jersey.

Short, H.L. and R.J. Cooper. 1985. *Habitat suitability index models: great blue heron*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.99. 23 pages.

Short, H.L. 1986. Habitat suitability index models: white-tailed deer in the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic coastal plains. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.123. 36 pages.

Snyder, G.H. and J. M. Davidson. S.M. Davis and J.C. Ogden (editors). 1994. Everglades Agriculture: Past, Present and Future (in) Everglades: The Ecosystem and its Restoration. St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Florida. Pages 85-115.

Soil Conservation Service of the U. S. Department of Agriculture. Reprinted 1987. 26 Ecological Communities of Florida. Gainesville, Florida. 296 pages.

Sousa, P.J., and A.H. Farmer. 1983. *Habitat suitability index models: wood duck.* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.43. 27 pages.

South Florida Water Management District. 1982. Save our Rivers Project Evaluation Matrix (unpublished). West Palm Beach, Florida. 9 pages.

South Florida Water Management District. 1995. Technical Support for Development of Wetland Drawdown Criteria for Florida's Lower West Coast Part 1. Results of Literature Review Modeling Studies and Expert Opinion. (unpublished). West Palm Beach, Florida. 431 pages.

Stuber, R.J., G. Gebhart, and O.E. Maughaun. 1982. *Habitat suitability index models: largemouth bass.* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.16. 33 pages.

Stuber, R.J., G. Gebhart, and O.E. Maughn. 1982. Habitat suitability index models: bluegill. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.8. 26 pages.

Thibodeau, F.R., and N. H. Nickerson. 1985. Changes in Wetland Plant Association Induced by Impoundment and Draining. Biological Conservation, Vol. 33, Pages 269-279.

Twomey, K.A., G. Gebhart, O.E. Maughan, and P.E. Nelson. 1984. *Habitat suitability index models and instream flow suitability curves: redear sunfish.* U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-82/10.79. 29 pages.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Ecology Research Center. 1993. *Habitat Evaluation Procedures Workbook*. revised. 282 Pages. [First Printed 1980].

Van-Miller, S. 1987. Habitat suitability index models: osprey. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 82/10.154. 58 pages.

Whalen, P.J., and M.G. Cullum. 1988. An Assessment of Urban Land Use/Stormwater Runoff Quality Relationships and Treatment Efficiencies of Selected Stormwater Management Systems. South Florida Water Management District, Technical Publication 88-9. West Palm Beach, Florida. 56 pages.

SPECIES HABITAT REQUIREMENT TABLE

APPENDIX A

SPECIES	FOOD	COVER	REPRODUCTION	HABITAT SIZE
Great Blue Heron (Ardea herodias)	Water is less than 50cm deep, fish, reptiles, and macro-invertebrates.	Not a limiting factor.	Trees 5 - 15 m. Ht. Riparian swamp. Tree islands.	0.4 ha - 4.8 ha.
Bullfrog (Rana cstesbeiana)	Fish, reptiles, macro-invertebrates amphibians.	Groundcover, understory, stumps, logs, and banks	Continuous standing water.	Not a limiting factor.
Barred Owl (strix varia)	Small mammals, reptiles, fish, and macro-invertebrates	Dense forested wetlands Deciduous riparian woodlands.	Trees are larger than 50 cm dbh. Nest cavity greater than 7.6 m from ground.	Greater than 10 ha.
Wood Duck (apix sponsa)	Aquatic plants, fruits, insects, acorns and macro-invertabrates.	Downed timber, dense shrub, canopy riparian forest.	50 - 75% cover (tree cavities, shrubs). 25 - 50% open water.	Greater than 4 ha.
Eastern Cottontail (sylvilagus floridanus)	Grasses, herbs, flowers (usually not a limiting factor).	Shrubby cover adjacent to field edges, savanna prairie, forbs, brambles.	Grasses are less than 20 cm high.	Greater than 4 ha.
Alligator (alligator mississippiensis)	Small mammals, large mammals, birds reptiles, fish, & macro-invertebrates.	Palustrine emergent. Estuarine emergent vegetation.	Sloping banks, with available vegetation	Greater than 5 ha.
Sandhill Crane (Grus camadensis)	Insects, macro-invertebrates, reptiles, amphibians, roots, small mammals.	Roosting site typically within large wetlands (cover typically not a limiting factor).	Large marsh complexes. Scattered marshes, bogs (Isolation).	Dependent on isolated wetland.
White-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus)	Seeds, fruits, twigs, acorns, shoots, buds, broadleaved herbaceous plants, grasses.	Swamps, thickets, broken mixes of forest & agricultural land. Forested area with limited tree canopy.	See cover	Greater than or equal to 40 ha.

SPECIES	FOOD	COVER	REPRODUCTION	HABITAT SIZE
Bobcat (Felis rufus)	Large, medium & small mammals, reptiles, and birds.	Thickets, hollow stumps, logging debris, bottomland hardwood, mixed grassy areas.	Thickets, hollow stumps, logging debris.	Minimum is greater than 1 km. Opt. is greater than 20 km.
Large mouthed bass (Micropterus Salmoides)	Insects, macroinvertebrates, crustaceans.	Some standing water at all times. Riverine- sufficient pools of less than 6 cm per second flow Lacustrine and lakes with greater than 25% area less than 6 m depth. Optimal cover 40 - 60% of logs, brush, and debris, in littoral areas or pools.	Nesting area: Gravel, vegetation sand, mud, roots, cobble, 0.15 - 7.5 m depth.	No minimum habitat size established.
Belted Kingfisher (Ceryle alcyon)	Fish, crayfish, frogs, & insects.	Roosts on single limbs about 6 - 7 m above ground. Bare branches, wires for fishing.	Shrub cover (brooding), Nesting borrows in steep banks devoid of vegetation	Greater than 1.0 km of lake shore or stream.
Bald Eagle (Haliaeetus leucocephalus)	Birds, medium to small mammals, fish, reptiles & amphibians, macro-invertebrates	Sheltered timber stands.	Old-growth & second-growth timber. Mature trees, open forest structure within 182 m of a lake or fishable body of water.	8 ha of water surrounded by 1.5 km strip of land.
Fox Squirrel (Sciurus niger)	Seeds, mast, buds, insects, tubers, roots, and birds eggs.	Hardwood or pine flatwoods with little understory. Stands of large trees interspersed with agricultural lands, well-drained bottomlands.	Leaf nests, tree cavaties.	2 ha
Gray Squirrel Sciurus carolinensis)	Mast, fruit, buds, seeds, bark, roots, fungus, and animal matter.	Mature hardwood forest with dense well developed understory. Sawtimber si trees greater than 22.8 cm in dbh. trees greater than 22.8 cm in dbh.	Hardwood stands greater than 60 years i old, den trees, leaf nests.	Greater than 0.4 ha.

SPECIES	FOOD	COVER	REPRODUCTION	HABITAT SIZE
Redear sunfish Lepomis microlophus)	Juvenile-algae microcrustaceans, Adults-zooplankton, macro-invertabrates and crustaceans.	Lacustrine, palustrine, slow moving rivervine, vegetated shallow areas with brush, stumps and logs.	Depth of water at nest varies 5 cm to 6 m. Vegetative free substrate. Sandy clay, gravel, limestone, shells & mud.	No minimum size established.
Roseate spoonbill Ajaia ajaia)	Fish, crustaceans, macro-invertebrates	Islands, islets, keys, shrubs and forest wetlands, roosting trees, & shrubs 2 - 6 m up to 30m.	(See cover requirements). Nest	Colonial birds. Important that the island is greater than 4 km from mainland.
Bluegill				
(Lepomis macrochirus)	Zooplankton, aquatic and terrestrial insects, and plant material.	Lacustrine, palustrine and slow-moving riverine. Fertile water bodies with submerged vegetation, logs, brush.	Vegetated areas & unvegetated areas. Substrate - fine gravel, sand, sandy - clay, mud, limestone shells. 1 - 3 m water depth	
Pine warbler	N. Carlotte	and the second s	mad, initiations officials. I offit water deptit	•
(Dendroica pinus)	Insects, pine seeds, wild fruits, berries.	Pure stands of seral pine trees. 35 - 100 years old, mature conifers.	Horizontal branches in needles at end of a branch or in a clump of cones. Nests at heights greater than 8 m.	Usually greater than 10 ha.
Pileated woodpecker			;	
(Dryocopus pileatus)	Ants, beetles, wild fruit.	Foraging: dense canopies with numerous snags, stumps & logs. Cover: dense forests, mesic habitats.	Cavity nesters. Tall snags. Nests at greater than 51 m off ground.	Greater than 130 ha.
Eastern Wild Turkey				
(Meleagris gallapavo sylvestris)	Grasses, acorns, seeds, fruits, tubers, bulbs, insects, amphibians, crustaceans. insects, amphibians, crustaceans.	Open mature woodlands, mixture of forests and open lands.	Nests on ground concealed by dense brush, mayfields, fence rows, and utility right-of-ways. brush, mayfields, fence rows, and utility right-of-ways.	Greater than 900 ha.

HABITAT COMMUNITY PROFILES

GROUNDCOVER SPP

(Lobelia glandulosa)

HYDROLOGY

APPENDIX B

Everglades	Five Lined Skink	Slash Pine	Sawgrass	Inundation 2-6 months
	(Eumeces inexpectatus)	(Pinus elliotti var. densa)	(Cladium jamaicense)	
Rocky Glades	Pygmy Rattlesnake	Cabbage Palm	Camphor Weed	
	(Sistrurus miliarius)	(Sabal palmetto)	(Pluchea spp.)	
	Hawk Guild	Gallberry	Snowberry	
	(Buteo spp.)	(Ilex galbra)	(Chiococca alba)	
	Carolina Wren	Myrsine	Beak Rush	
	(Thyothorus ludovicianus)	(Myrsine spp.)	(Rhynchospora spp.)	
	* Pine Warbler	Poisonwood	Wire Grass	
	(Dendroica pinus)	(Metopium toxiferum)	(Aristida spp.)	
	Opposum	Dahoon Holly	Muhly Grass	
	(Didelphis virginiana)	(Ilex cassine)	(Muhlenbergia capillaris)	
	Marsh Rabbit	Saltbush	Periphyton	
	(Sylvilagus palustris)	(Baccharis spp.)	(Blue-green algae, etc.)	
	Cotton Rat	Carolina willow	White-top Sedge	
	(Sigmodon spp.)	(Salix caroliniana	(Rhynchospora colorata))
	Cotton Mouse	Swamp bay	Mermaid-weed	
	(Peromyscus gossypinus)	(Persea palustris)	(Proserpinaca spp.)	
	Raccoon		Glades lobelia	

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP

(Procyon lotor)

(Odocoileus virginianus)

* Bobcat (Lynx rufus)

* Deer

^{* -} See Appendix A

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP GROUNDCOVER SPP HYDROLOGY

Everglades

Marl Glades

Cricket Frog

(Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Squirrel treefrog (Hyla squirella) Leopard Frog

(Rana sphenocephala)

Pig frog (Rana grylio)
Cotton Mouth

(Agkistrodon piscivorus)

Water Snake (Nerodia pp.)

Aquatic Turtle guild

* Heron and Egret guild

Hawk Guild (Buteo spp.)
White Ibis (Guara alba)
* Bobcat

(Lynx rufus)

* Deer

(Odocoileus virginianus)

Marsh Rabbit

(Sylvilagus palustris)

Racoon

(Procyon lotor)

Slash Pine

(Pinus elliottii var. densa)

Cabbage Palm (Sabal palmetto) Dahoon Holly (Ilex cassine)

(Metopium toxiferum)

Pond Cypress

Poisonwood

(Taxodium ascendens)

Sawgrass

(Cladium jamaicense)

Inundation > 4 months

Spike Rush

(Eleocharis cellulosa)

Swamp Lily

(Crinum americanum)

Beak Rush

(Rhynchospora spp.)

Periphyton

(Blue-green Algae, etc)

Muhly Grass

(Muhlenbergia capillaris)

Flat Sedge

(Cyperus elegans)

Flat Sedge

(Cyperus haspan)

HABITAT	TYPE	WILDLIFE UTILIZATION	OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP	GROUNDCOVER SPP	HYDROLOGY

Everglades

Pig frog (Rana grylio)

Organic Glades

Cricket Frog (Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Little Grass Frog

(Limnaoedus ocularis)
Aquatic turtle guild

* American Alligator

(Alligator mississippiensis) Fetterbush

Crayfish snake (Regina alleni)

* Barred Owl (Strix varia)

* Pileated Woodpecker

(Hylatomus pileatus)

White Ibis (Guara alba)

Heron and Egret guild

Hawk Guild
* Barred Owl
(Strix varia)

* Pileated Woodpecker (Hylatomus pileatus)

River Otter

(Lutra canadensis)

* Bobcat (Lynx rufus)

* Deer

(Odocoileus virginianus)

Cypress

(Taxodium spp.)

Slash Pine

(Pinus elliottii var. densa)

Red Bay

(Persea palustris)

Sweet Bay

(Magnolia virginiana)

Fetterbush
(Lyonia lucida)
Buttonbush

(Cephalanthus occidentalis) (Eleocharis spp.)

Wax Mrytle

(Myrica cerifera)

Sphagnum moss

Inundation > 9 months

Saturated 12 months/vr

(Sphagnum spp.)

Pickerel Weed (Pontederia spp.)
Duck Potato

(Sagittaria spp.) Beak Rush

(Rhynchospora spp.)
Fragrant Water Lily

(Nymphaea odorata)

Spike Rush

Swamp Lily

(Crinum americanum)

Maidencane

(Panicum hemitomon)

Sawgrass

(Cladium jamaicense)

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP GROUNDCOVER SPP.

HYDROLOGY

Hydroperiod 3-12 months

Depth of Inundation +2' (wet)

Depth of Inundation -4' (dry)

Cypress Swamp

Cricket Frog

(Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Liitle Grass Frog

(Limnaoedus ocularis)

* American Alligator

Aquatic Turtle Guild

Pig frog

(Rana grylio)

* Barred Owl

(Strix varia)

* Heron Guild

(Ardea spp., etc.)

Limpkin

(Aramus guaruana pictus Wax Myrtle Great Horned Owl

(Bubo virginianus)

Woodstork

(Mycteria americana)

* Wood Duck (Aix sponsa)

* Bobcat

(Lynx rufus)

* Deer

(Odocoileus viriginianus)

River Otter

(Lutra canadensis)

Raccoon

(Procyon lotor)

Bald/Pond Cypress

(Taxodium spp.)

Coastal Plain Willow (Salix caroliniana)

Blackgum

(Alligator mississippiensis (Nyssa sylvatica var. biflora) (Blechnum serrulatum)

Red Maple

(Acer rubum)

Button Bush

(Cephalanthus occidentalis) (Thelypteris spp.)

Myrsine

(Myrsine guianensis)

Virginia-willow

(Itea virginica)

(Myrica cerifera)

Fetterbush

(Lyonia lucida)

Royal Fern

(Osmunda regalis) Cinnamon Fern

(Osmunda cinnamomea)

Swamp Fern

Chain Fern

(Woodwardia spp.)

Shield Fern

Arrow Arum

(Peltandra virginica)

Lizard Tail

(Saururus cernuus)

Pickerel Weed

(Pontederia cordata) Sphagnum Moss '

(Sphagnum spp.)

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP GROUNDCOVER SPP. HYDROLOGY

Wet Flatwoods

Oak Toad (Bufo quercicus) Chorus Frog (Pseudacris nigrata)

Cricket Frog (Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Black Racer

(Coluber c. priapus)

Diamondback Rattlesnake Wax Myrtle (Crotalus adamanteus) (Myrica ceri

Pygmy Rattlesnake (Sistrurus milliarius)

Hawk Guild (Buteo spp.)
Bobwhite Quail (Colinus virginianus)

Opossum

(Didelphis virginiana)

Cotton Rat

(Sigmodon spp.)

Raccoon

(Procyon lotor)
Striped Skunk
(Mephitis mephitis)

* Bobcat (Lynx rufus)

* Deer

(Odocoileus virginianus)

* Cottontail Rabbit (Sylvilagus floridanus)

Slash Pine

(Pinus elliottii var. densa)

Sabal Palm (Sabal palmetto) Dahoon Holly (Ilex cassine) Red Bay

(Persea palustris)

Wax Myrtle
(Myrica cerifera)
Saw palmetto
(Serenoa repens)

Blue Maidencane

(Amphicarpum mulhenbergianum)

Wire Grass (Aristida spp.)

Beak Rush (Rhynchospora spp.)

Maidencane

(Panicum hemitomon)

Nut Rush (Scleria spp.) Redroot

(Lachnanthes caroliniana)

Yellow Eyed Grass

(Xyris spp.)
Pickerel Weed

(Pontederia cordata)

Colic Root
(Aletris lutea)
Sundew
(Drosera spp.)

Milkwort

(Polygala spp.)
St. Johns Wort
(Hypericum spp.)
Marsh Pink

Marsh Pink (Sabatia spp.)

Hatpins

(Eriocaulon spp.)

Wet Season:

Hydroperiod 1-4 months/yr Depth of inundation 1'-2' above the surface

Dry Season:

Depth of inundation -3' below the surface

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP GROUNDCOVER SPP HYDROLOGY

Wet Prairie -#

Leopard Frog

(Rana sphenocephala)

Cricket Frog

(Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Black Racer

(Coluber c. priapus)

Aquatic Turtle guild

Pygmy Rattlesnake

(Sistrurus milliarius)

Hawk guild

Heron and Egret guild

White Ibis

(Eudocimus albus)

Killdeer

(Charadrius v. vociferus)

Red Winged Blackbird

(Agelaius phoeniceus)

Marsh Rabbit

(Sylvilagus palustris)

Cotton Rat

(Sigmondon spp.)

* Slash Pine

(Pinus elliottii var. densa)

* Wax Myrtle

(Myrica cerifera)
Dahoon Holly

(Ilex cassine)

* Groundsel bush

(Baccharis hamilfolia)

Wire Grass

(Aristida spp.)

Beak Rush

(Rhynchospora spp.)

Duration of Inundation

+0.7' for 2-5 months/yr.

Maidencane

(Panicum hemitomon)

Blatterwort

(Utricularia spp.)

St. Johns Wort

(Hypericum fasciculatum)

Marsh Pink (Sabatia spp.)

Hatpins

(Eriocaulon spp.)

Sundew

(Drosera capillaris)

Yellow Eyed Grass

(Xyris spp.)

Water Drop-wort

(Oxypolis filiformis)

Queen's Delight

(Stillingia aquatica)

Mermaid-weed

(Proserpinaca spp.)

Giant plumegrass

(Erianthus giganteus)

^{* -} Species will invade during reduced hydroperiods or extended droughts.

^{# -}This term is used to describe shallow-depressional wetlands with sandy soils typically found in pine flatwoods communities. Others have used "wet prairie" to describe several different wetland communities in south Florida (e.g., Lodge, 1996).

HABITAT TYPE	WILDLIFE UTILIZATION	OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP	GROUNDCOVER SPP	HYDROLOGY
	Cricket Frog (Acris gryllus dorsalis) Leopard Frog (Rana utricularia) * Bullfrog (Rana catesbeiana) Aquatic Turtle Guild Water Snake (Natrix fasciata) Cottonmouth (Agkistrodon piscivorus) Ribbon Snake (Thamnophis spp.) * American Alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) *Heron and Egret Guild Florida Duck (Anas fulvigula) Snail Kite (Rostrhamus sociabilis) River Otter (Lutra canadensis)	•	Maidencane	Period of Inundation 7-10 months/yr.
* - See Appendix A			Smartweed (<i>Polygonum spp.</i>)	

HABITAT TYPE WILDLIFE UTILIZATION OVERSTORY/SHRUB SP GROUNDCOVER SPP. **HYDROLOGY**

Mixed Hardwood Cricket Frog Swamps

(Acris gryllus dorsalis)

Liitle Grass Frog

(Limnaoedus ocularis)

* American Alligator

(Alligator mississippiensis (Nyssa sylvatica var. biflora) (Blechnum serrulatum)

Aquatic Turtle Guild

Eastern Mud Snake (Farancia abacura)

Cottonmouth

(Agkistrodon piscivorus)

* Barred Owl

(Strix varia)

Swallow-tailed Kite

(Elanoides f. forficatus)

* Pileated Woodpecker (Hylatomus pileatus)

Great Horned Owl

(Bubo virginianus)

Woodstork

(Mycteria americana)

* Wood Duck

(Aix sponsa)

* Deer

(Odocoileus viriginianus)

River Otter

(Lutra canadensis)

Raccoon

(Procyon lotor)

Black Bear

(Ursus americanus)

* Bobcat

Bald/Pond Cypress

(Taxodium spp.)

Pond Apple (Annona glabra)

Blackgum

Red Maple (Acer rubum)

Button Bush

Water Ash

(Fraxinus caroliniana)

Slash Pine

(Pinus elliottii var. densa)

Wax Myrtle (Myrica cerifera)

Fetterbush (Lyonia lucida)

Virginia Willow

(Itea virginica)

Carolina Willow

(Salix caroliniana) American Elm

(Ulmus americana)

Swamp Laurel Oak

(Quercus laurifolia)

Sweet Bay

(Magnolia virginiana)

Swamp Bay

(Persea palustris)

B-8

Royal Fern

(Osmunda regalis)

Cinnamon Fern

(Osmunda cinnamomea)

Hydroperiod 4-11 months

Depth of Inundation +2.5' (we

Depth of Inundation -5' (dry)

Swamp Fern

Chain Fern

(Woodwardia spp.)

Shield Fern

(Cephalanthus occidentalis) (Thelypteris spp.)

Arrow Arum

(Peltandra virginica)

Lizard Tail

(Saururus cernuus)

Pickerel Weed (Pontederia spp.) Sphagnum Moss

(Sphagnum spp.)

Sawgrass

(Cladium jamaicense)

Poison Ivy

(Toxicodendron radicans)

(Lynx rufus) * - See Appendix A

COMMON FRESH WATER FISHES OF SOUTHERN FLORIDA

List compiled by Dr. Alex Marsh, Department of Biological Science, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL)

Scientific name

Common name

Adinia xenica
Amia calva
Anguilla rostrata
Astronotus ocellatus *
Belonesox belizanus *
Centropomus undecimalis *
Cichla ocellaris *

Cichia oceitaris *
Cichiasoma bimaculatum *
Cichiasoma citronellum *
Cichiasoma octofasciatum *
Cichiasoma uropthalmus *
Cichiasoma uropthalmus *
Clarias bartachus *
Cyprinodon variegatus
Diapterus plumieri
Elassoma evergladei
Enneacanthus gloriosus
Erymizon sucetta
Esox niger

Etheostoma fusiforme Fundulus chrysotus Fundulus confluentus Fundulus seminolis Gambusia affinis

Hemichromis letourneauxi *
Heterandria formosa
Ictalurus natalis
Jordonella floridae
Labidesthes sicculus
Lepisostheus platyrhyncus
Lepomis gulosus

Lepomis gulosus
Lepomis macrochirus
Lepomis marginatus
Lepomis microlophus
Lepomis punctatus
Lucania goodei
Micropterus salmoides
Notemigonus crysoleucas
Noturus gyrinus

Pterygoplichthys multiradiatus

Oreochromis mariae *
Oreochromis mossambicus *

Tilapia mariae *

Poecilia latipinna

Diamond Killifish Bowfin American Eel Oscar Pike Killifish Snook

Peacock Cichlid
Black Acara
Midas Cichlid
Jack Dempsey
Mayan Cichlid
Walking Catfish
Sheepshead Minnow
Striped Mojarra

Everglades Pigmy Sunfish
Bluespotted Sunfish
Lake Chubsucker
Chain Pickeral
Scalyhead Darter
Golden Topminnow
Marsh Killifish
Seminole Killifish
Mosquitofish
Americal Jewelfish
Least Killifish
Yellow Bullhead
Flagfish

Brook Silverside
Florida Gar
Warmouth
Bluegill
Dollar Sunfish
Redear Sunfish
Spotted Sunfish
Bluefin Killifish
Largemouth Bass
Golden Shiner
Tadpole Madtom
Sailfin Molly
Sailfin Cattfish
Blue Tilapia

Mozambique Tilapia Spotted Tilapia

(* Exotic species)

COMMON AQUATIC INSECT TAXA (Compiled by Dr. Alex Marsh, Department of Biological Sciences, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL)

Order	Plecoptera		Stoneflies
Order	Ephemerop	tera	Mayflies
Order	Odonata		
		er Anisoptera	Dragonflies
		er Zygoptera	Damselflies
		or Eygoptoru	Damsennes
Order	Hemiptera		
	Family	Hebridae	Velvet water bugs
	Family	Hydrometridae	Water measurers
	Family	Mesoveliidae	Water treaders
	Family	Gerridae	Water striders
	Family	Veliidae	Broad-shouldered
			water striders
	Family	Notonectidae	Backswimmers
	Family	Pleidae	Pigmy backswimmers
	Family	Naucoridae	Creeping water bugs
	Family	Nepidae	Water scorpions
	Family	Belostomatidae	Giant water bugs
	Family	Corixidae	Water boatmen
Order	Megaloptera	•	
0.401		Sialidae	Aldoma.
	•	Corydalidae	Alderfly
		ooi, aariaao	Hellgrammite
Order	Neuroptera		Spongilla flies
Order	Trichoptera		Caddis flies
Order	Lepidoptera	(Pyrallidae)	Aquatic caterpillars
Order	Coleoptera		
		Haliplidae	Crawling water beetles
		Dystiscidae	Predaceous diving bettles
		Gyrinidae	Whirligig beetles
	Family	Hydrophilidae	Water scavengers
	Family	Psephenidae	Water pennies
		Elmidae	Riffle beetles
	Family	Helodidae	Marsh beetles
	Family	Noteridae	Burrowing water beetles
	Family	Chrysomelidae	Leaf beetles
	Family	Dryopidae	Long-toed water beetles
Order	Diptera		•
	-	Blepharoceridae	N T
		Tipulidae	Net-winged midges
		Ptychopteridae	Crane flies
		Psychodidae	Phatom crane flies
		Dixidae	Moth flies
	. aimiy	DIMIGO	Dixa midges

Order Diptera (Cont.)

Family Culicidae Mosquitoes, phatom midges
Family Simulidae Blackflies

Family Simulidae Blackflies
Family Tendipedidae Midges
Family Ceratopongidae Biting midges
Family Stratiomyiidae Soldierflies
Family Tabanidae Horseflies, deerflies
Family Rhagionidae Snipe flies

Family Syrphidae Rat-tailed maggots
Family Tetanoceridae Marsh flies

Family Tetanoceridae Marsh flies
Family Ephydridae Shore flies

$\text{Appendix}\, E$

SOME COMMON EXOTIC AND NUISANCE PLANT SPECIES FOUND IN WETLANDS OF SOUTHERN FLORIDA

(Includes Partial List of the Florida Exotic Pest Plant Council's 1995 Most Invasive Species)

COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	PLANT FORM
alligator weed	Alternantera philoxeroides	herb
shoebutton ardisia	Ardisia elliptica	shrub, small tree
bishopwood	Bischofia javanica	tree
para grass	Brachiaria mutica	grass
Australian pine	Casuarina equisetifolia	tree
taro	Colocasia esculenta	herb
carrotwood	Cupaniopsis anacardioides	tree
air-potato	Dioscorea bulbiflora	vine
water hyacinth	Eichornia crassipes	herb
Surinam cherry	Eugenia uniflora	shrub, small tree
water primrose	Ludwigia octovalvis	herb
primrose willow	Ludwigia peruviana	herb
Japanese climbing fern	Lygodium japonicum	vine
old world climbing fern	Lygodium microphyllum	vine
climbing hempweed	Mikania scandens	vine
melaleuca	Melaleuca quinquenervia	tree
torpedo grass	Panicum repens	grass
bahia grass	Paspalum notatum	grass
napier grass	Pennisetum purpureum	grass
water lettuce	Pistia stratiotes	herb
guava	Psidium guajava	tree
Chinese tallow	Sapium sebiferum	small tree
Brazilian pepper	Schinus terebinthifolius	tree
St. Augustine grass	Stenotaphrum secunotatum	grass
Java plum	Syzygium cumini	tree
seaside mahoe	Thespesia populnea	tree
cattail	Typha spp.	herb
Caesar's weed	Urena lobata	herb
wedelia	Wedelia trilobata	herb

PERMIT NO.	PROJECT	DATE	EVALUATOR	WETLAND TYPE	LANDUSE	WU	0/\$	GC	BUFF	E&N	HYD	WQ	WRAP	SITE	OBS
4390018	WEST JENSEN	06/11/96	8G	WP/24	UNDEV	2.50	N/A	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.86	1	1
4390018	WEST JENSEN	06/11/96	BN	WP/24	UNDEV	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.89	1	2
4390018	WEST JENSEN	06/11/96	RM	WP/24	UNDEV	2.50	N/A	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.86	<u>i</u>	3
4300672	HIDDEN OAKS	06/11/96	8G	HM/CREATED	INSTIT.	2.00	N/A	1.50	1.50	1.00	2.00	1.60	0.53	2	1
4300672	HIDDEN OAKS	06/11/96	BN	HM/CREATED	INSTIT.	1.00	N/A	1.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.70	0.54	2	2
4300672	HIDDEN OAKS	06/11/96	RM	HM/CREATED	INSTIT.	1.50	N/A	1.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	1.70			
4300196	HIGH MEADOWS	06/01/96	RM	MM/CREATED	HIGHWAY	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.50			0.51	2	3
4300196	HIGH MEADOWS	06/01/96	BN	MM/CREATED	HIGHWAY	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.00	1.00	0.57	3	1
4300196	HIGH MEADOWS	06/01/96	BG	MM/CREATED	HIGHWAY	1.50	1.00			•••	2.00	1.00	0.59	3	2
N/A	DWP-STUMP	07/23/96	LMG	HM				2.50	0.50	3.00	3.00	1.00	0.59	3	3
N/A	DWP-STUMP	07/23/96	BG		AG/PASTURE	1.50	N/A	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	0.64	4	1
N/A	LLK2-TCP			HM	AG/PASTURE	2.00	N/A	1.50	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.50	0.58	4	2
		07/25/96	BG	WP	UNDEV/CITRUS	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.89	5	1
N/A	LLK2-TCP	07/25/96	LMG	WP	UNDEV/CITRUS	3.00	N/A	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.80	0.96	5	2
MIT.BANK	LLGSMB	07/24/96	LMG	MH	AG/CITRUS	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	0.83	6	1
MIT.BANK	LLGSMB	07/24/96	BG	MH	AG/CITRUS	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2,50	1.50	0.81	6	2
50-	FOREST HILL NRS	11/25/96	RM	MM	AG/ROW	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.75	0.56	7	1
50-	FOREST HILL NRS	11/25/96	BR	MM	AG/ROW	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.75	0.58	7	2
50-	FOREST HILL NRS	11/25/96	BG	MM	AG/ROW	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.75	0.56	7	3
5001161	PB PK OF COMM	11/25/96	RM	EM	IND	2.00	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.75	0.62	8	1
5001161	PB PK OF COMM	11/25/96	BR	EM	IND	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00			-	-
5001161	PB PK OF COMM	11/25/96	BG	EM	IND	2.00	N/A	1.50	2.00	2.00		1.75	0.68	. 8	2
5000618	SARATOGAWLB	11/25/96	RM	WP	SF/RES	2.00	N/A				2.00	1.75	0.63	8	3
5000618	SARATOGAWLB	11/25/96	BR	WP	SF/RES	1.50		2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.80	0.66	9	1
5000618	SARATOGAWLB	11/25/96	BG	WP			N/A	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.80	0.68	9	2
5000618 -	SARATOGA/VED				SF/RES	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	2.50	2.00	2,80	0.66	9	3
5000618		11/25/96	RM	MM	SF/RES	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	1.50	2.50	2.80	0.75	10	1
	SARATOGA/115AC	11/25/96	BR	MM	SF/RES	2,50	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.00	2.00	2.80	0.68	10	2
5000618	SARATOGA/115AC	11/25/96	BG	MM	SF/RES	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	1.50	2.50	2.80	0.73	10	3
5001161	PK OF COMM/C8	11/25/96	RM	EM	IND	2.00	N/A	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	0.86	11	1
5001161	PK OF COMM/C8	11/25/96	BR	EM	IND	2.00	N/A	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	0.81	11	ż
5001161	PK OF COMM/C8	11/25/96	BG	EM	IND	2.00	N/A	2.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.00	0.86	11	3
5600573	LK. HEATHER\VD	11/22/96	RM	EM	SF/RES	1.50	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.50	12	1
5600573	LK. HEATHERWD	11/22/96	BR	EM	SF/RES	2.00	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	12	2
5600573	LK. HEATHERWD	11/22/96	BG	EM	SF/RES	1.00	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.50	2.00	0.47		3
5600573	LK. HEATHERWD	11/22/96	BN	EM	SF/RES	1.00	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.00	2.00	2.00		12	
	W. JENSEN/WL24	11/22/96	BG	WP	SF/RES/GC	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50		0.45	12	4
	W. JENSEN/WL24	11/22/96	BN	WP	SF/RES/GC	2.50	N/A	2.50				2.50	0.72	13	1
	W. JENSEN/WL24	11/22/96	BR	WP	SF/RES/GC	2,50			2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	0.81	13	2
	W. JENSEN/WL24	11/22/96	RM	WP	SF/RES/GC		N/A	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.50	0.75	13	3
5600573	SCHOOL CCC	11/22/96	RM	EM/CREATED		2.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	0.72	13	4
5600573	SCHOOL CCC	11/22/96	BR		INST.	2.00	N/A	2.50	0.50	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.53	14	1
5600573				EM/CREATED	INST.	2.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.56	14	2
5600573	SCHOOL CCC	11/22/96	BG	EM/CREATED	INST.	1.50	N/A	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50	0.50	0.58	14	3
	SCHOOL CCC	11/22/96	BN	EM/CREATED	INST.	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.50	0.50	0,53	14	4
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	RM	FORESTED/PRES	HWY	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	2.50	2.00	1.50	0.59	15	1
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BR	FORESTED/PRES	HWY	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.50	2.00	1.50	0.52	15	2
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BG	FORESTED/PRES	HWY	1.00	1.00	2.00	0.50	2.50	2.50	1.50	0.52	15	3
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BN	FORESTED/PRES	HWY	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.50	0.55	15	4
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BR	MM/CREATED	HWY	2.50	2.50	3,00	1,00	3.00	2.00	1.50			
4300196	HIGH MED, AVE	11/22/96	RM	MM/CREATED	HWY	1.50	1.50	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50		0.73	16	1
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BG	MM/CREATED	HWY	1.50	2.00	3.00	0.50			1.50	0.62	16	2
4300196	HIGH MED. AVE	11/22/96	BN	MM/CREATED	HWY	1.50	2.00			3.00	2.50	1.50	0.66	16	3
· =	HIDDEN OAKS	11/22/96	RM	EM/CREATED	INST			2.50	1.00	2.50	2.00	1.50	0.62	16	4
	HIDDEN OAKS	11/22/96	BN	EM/CREATED		2.00	N/A	1.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.95	0.61	17	1
	HIDDEN OAKS	11/22/96	BR		INST	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.95	0.61	17	2
				EM/CREATED	INST	2.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.95	0.66	17	3
	HIDDEN OAKS	11/22/96	BG	EM/CREATED	INST	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.50	1.95	0.61	17	4

PERMIT NO. PROLECT DATE SALUATOR WELLAND TYPE LANDUSE MAY DATE MA																
E ORG. CO. 1271096 BG FORESTED WASTEWATER 3.00 15.0 15.0 15.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 1	PERMIT NO.	· · ·			-									WRAP	SITE	OB5
E ORG CO. 1271096 BG FORESTED WASTEWATER 2.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1		* '											0.75	0.54	18	1
MEAD GARDENS 12/1096 LG PORESTEIDHAY REC 15.0 1.00 1.50 1.00 2.50 0.89 0.44 19 12 MARA GARDENS 12/1096 LG PORESTEIDHAY REC 2.00 1.00 1.50 1.00 2.50 0.89 0.44 19 2 MARA GARDENS 12/1096 LG PORESTEIDHAY REC 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.50 1.00 2.50 0.89 0.44 19 2 MARA GARDENS 12/1096 LG PORESTEIDHAY REC 2.00 2.00 1.00 1.50 1.00 2.00 0.00 2.50 0.89 0.47 19 3 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1												1.50	0.75	0.63	18	2
MEAD GARDENS 12/1096													0.75	0.51	18	3
MEAD GARDENS 12/10/98 LIX CADAR/OTCH 12/10/98 LI													0.69	0.46	19	1
LK. ADARROTTCH 12/1098 GG CHNNL STREAM SPHWYY 0.50 N/A 0.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 0.03 0.40 20 12 1 LK. ADARROTTCH 12/1098 LM CHNNL STREAM SPHWYY 0.50 N/A 0.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 0.03 0.40 20 12 2 LK. ADARROTTCH 12/1098 LM CHNNL STREAM SPHWYY 0.50 N/A 0.50 1.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50													0.69	0.44	19	2
LK. ADAIR/OTTCH 12/1096 LM CHNNL STREAM SFAWY 0.50 N/A 0.50 150 200 0.00 0.80 0.45 20 2 1 1 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM CHNNL STREAM SFAWY 0.50 N/A 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.20 0.00 0.68 0.37 20 3 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 0.68 0.37 20 3 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 0.68 0.37 20 3 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 0.63 0.48 21 1 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.00 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 3 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.48 21 22 LK. ADAIR 12/1096 LM LAKE MASTE WATER 2.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50												2.50	0.69	0.47	19	3
LK. ADARROTTCH 12/1096 BG LAKE SFAWY 1.00 2.00 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.63 0.37 2.00 3 3 LAKE SFAWY 1.00 2.00 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.63 0.37 2.00 3 3 LAKE SFAWY 1.00 2.00 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.63 0.48 21 1 1 LK ADARR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 1.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARR 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.63 0.48 21 2 LK ADARRO 12/1096 LM LAKE SFAWY 2.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50														0.40	20	
LK ADAIR 12/1096 LM													0.63		20	
LK ADAIR 12/1098 LM LAKE SF/HWY 2.00 2.00 0.50 1.00 2.00 0.30 0.48 21 23 E.ORG. CO. 12/1098 BG LAKE SF/HWY 2.00 1.00 0.50 1.00 2.50 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.48 21 23 E.ORG. CO. 12/1098 BG EM WASTEWATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 2.50 1.00 1.50 0.75 0.51 22 1 3 E.ORG. CO. 12/1098 LG EM WASTEWATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 2.50 1.00 1.50 0.75 0.54 22 2 E.ORG. CO. 12/1098 LM EM CONTROL WASTEWATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00											2.00	2.00	0.63	0.37	20	3
LK ADAIR 12/1098 LG LAKE SF/HWY 200 1.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.25 0.26 0.48 0.48 21 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					· · · · · · · · ·			-			2.00	2.00	0.63	0.46	21	1
E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 BG EM WASTE WATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 2.50 1.00 1.50 0.75 0.31 2.2 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 0.50 0.00 0.75 0.54 2.2 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.50 0.00 N/A 1.00 0.00 0.50 0.00 0.75 0.46 2.2 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 0.50 0.00 0.93 0.33 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 3 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.98 2.3 3 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.05 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 1.50 2.38 0.64 24 1 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.05 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 0.30 0.98 2.3 3 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.05 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.88 2.5 1 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.05 1.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.88 2.5 1 E. WEXPWY 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.05 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3													0.63	0.48	21	2
E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.50 N/A 1.00 3.00 0.50 2.00 0.75 0.64 22 3 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.50 1.00 N/A 1.00 3.00 0.50 2.00 0.75 0.64 22 3 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM MIWCONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MIWCONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MIWCONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3													0.63	0.48		3
E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM EM WASTE WATER 2.00 NA 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.75 0.46 22 3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 BG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 BG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 0.93 2.3 2 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LM MH/RESTOR. HWY 1.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 0.93 2.3 2 E. WEXPROVED 12/1096 LM MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.38 0.64 24 1 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.38 0.64 24 1 1 E. ORG. CO. 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 1.50 2.38 0.64 24 1 1 E. WEXPROVED 12/1096 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.68 25 1 E. WEXPROVED 12/1096 LG CYP HWY 1.50 1.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2												1.50	0.75	0.51	22	1
E. ORG. CO. 12/10/98														0.54		2
E. ORG. CO. 12/10/98 BG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 2.50 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3													0.75	0.46	22	3
E.ORG.CO. 12/10/96 LG MH/CONTROL WASTE WATER 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 0.09 233 3 3 3 3 3 0 0.00 0.09 233 3 3 3 0 0.00 0.09 233 3 3 3 0 0.00 0.09 233 3 3 3 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.					-							2.50		0.93	23	1
E.W. EXPWY 12/1096 LM MMRESTOR. HWY 150 150 2.50 1.50 2.38 0.64 24 1 2													3.00	.0.93	23	2
E.W. EXPWY 12/1098 LG MM/RESTOR. HWY 1:00 1:00 2:00 1:00 3:00 1:50 2:38 0:57 2:4 1 2 EW. EXP/ROUSE 12/1098 LM CYP HWY 1:00 3:00 3:00 0:50 3:00 2:50 1:30 0:64 2:4 3 EW. EXP/ROUSE 12/1098 LM CYP HWY 1:00 3:00 3:00 0:50 3:00 2:50 1:30 0:67 2:50 1:50 EW. EXP/ROUSE 12/1098 LM CYP HWY 1:00 3:00 3:00 0:50 3:00 2:00 1:30 0:70 2:50 1:50 EW. EXP/ROUSE 12/1098 BG CYP HWY 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00 3:00 3:00 0:50 3:00 0:70 2:50 1:30 0:70 2:50 EW. EXP/ROUSE 12/1098 BG CYP HWY 1:00 2:00 2:00 1:00 3:00 3:00 0:70 3:00 0:70 2:50 1:30 0:70 2:50 2:50 0:70 3:00 0:70 2:50 1:50 2:50 0:70 3:00 0:70 2:50 1:50 2:50 0:70 3:00 0:70 2:50 1:50 0:70 3:50 1:50 0:70 2:50 0:70 3:50 1:50 0:70 2:50 1:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 3:50 0:70 0:70 0:70 0:70 0:70 0:70 0:70 0												3,00		0.98	23	3
E.W. EXPROUSE 12/1098 LM CYP HWY 150 100 250 150 250 200 2.02 2.38 0.64 24 3 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG CYP HWY 150 3.00 3.00 0.50 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.68 25 3 CM 250 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG CYP HWY 150 2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 1.30 0.67 25 2 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG CYP HWY 150 2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 1.30 0.68 25 3 CM 250 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG CYP HWY 150 2.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 1.30 0.68 25 3 CM 250 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LM RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.68 25 3 CM 250 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LM RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 1 CM 250 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 1 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG RIPPOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG MM RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.73 26 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1098 LG MM RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK LOTUS 12/1198 LG MM RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1198 LG MM RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 3 EW EXPROUSE 12/1198 LG MM RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.0											2.50	1.50	2.38	0.64	24	. 1
E.W EXP/ROUSE 12/1096 LM CYP HWY 1.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 0.50 3.00 2.50 1.30 0.68 25 1 EW EXP/ROUSE 12/1096 BG CYP HWY 1.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.00 1.30 0.56 25 2 EW EXP/ROUSE 12/1096 BG CYP HWY 1.50 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.30 0.56 25 2 CW 1.50 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.0											3.00	1.50	2.38	0.57	24	2
EW EXPIROUSE 12/10/98 BG CYP HWY 1.50 3.00 3.00 1.00 3.00 2.00 1.30 0.70 25 2 0 0 0 0.70 25 2 0 0 0 0.70 25 2 0 0 0 0.70 25 0 0 0 0.70 25 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0											2.50	2.00	2.38	0.64	24	3
EW EXPIROUSE 12/10/98 BG CYP HWY 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 0.55 25 25 25 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LM RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.75 26 1 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.75 26 2 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.75 26 2 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.75 26 3 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 26 3 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 26 3 CATTRY CRI, IAK 12/11/98 LM HR RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 LM MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 LG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 3 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.0									3.00	0.50	3.00	2.50	1.30	0.68	25	1
CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 CG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 1 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIP/FOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 2.6 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 2.7 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 2.7 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 2.70 3.00 2.70 2.70 2.70 2.70 3.00 2.50 0.95 2.7 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.0									3.00	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.30	0.70	25	2
CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 LG RIPFOREST REC 2.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.75 28 2 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 BG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 26 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/96 BG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.77 26 4 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LM MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 4 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SFF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.69 0.54 28 1 LK COMO 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SFF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SFF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SFF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3											2.00	2.00	1.30	0.56	25	3
CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/98 BG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.73 28 3 CNTRY CRK J&K 12/11/98 BG RIPFOREST REC 2.50 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.00 3.00 2.25 0.77 26 4 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 BG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 LM MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/98 LG MH RES 2.70 3.00 2.70 2.70 2.70 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.70 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00										2.50		3.00	2.25	0.73	26	1
CNTRY CRK JAK 12/11/96 BG RIPFOREST REC 2:50 2:50 1:50 2:50 1:50 2:50 3:00 3:00 2:50 0:77 26 4 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LM MH RES 2:50 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 2:50 0:95 27 2 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LM MH RES 2:50 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3:00 3											2.00	3.00	2.25	0.75	26	2
LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 LM MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.50 0.93 27 1 LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 CG MH RES 2.50 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 0.50 0.92 27 3 LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.92 27 3 LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.92 27 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.92 27 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.03 0.125 0.40 30 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.03 0.125 0.40 30 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.03 0.125 0.43 30 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 3.3 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 1.55 0.35 30 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 1.55 0.40 30 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 3.3 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.5											2.00	3.00	2.25	0.73	26	3
LK LOTUS										2.50	2.00	3.00	2.25	0.77	26	4
LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 2.70 2.70 2.70 3.00 2.50 0.92 27 3 1 LK LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 2.50 0.95 27 4 LK COMO 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.69 0.54 28 1 LK COMO 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK COMO 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2											2,50	3.00	2.50	0.93	27	1
LK. LOTUS 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 3.00 2.70 2.70 3.00 2.50 0.92 27 3 LK CUMO 12/11/96 LG MH RES 3.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.69 0.54 28 1 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.69 0.54 28 1 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.30 0.213 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 3.00 2.00 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.55 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.55 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.00 0.50 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.55 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.05 31 3 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 0.57 32 4 0601978 ESTAN	•										3.00	3.00	2.50	0.95	27	2
LK COMO 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.69 0.54 28 1 1									2.70	2.70	2.70	3.00	2.50	0.92	27	
LK. COMO 12/11/96 LM EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 1.69 0.57 28 2 1 LK. COMO 12/11/96 BG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 3 1 LK. COMO 12/11/96 BG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2								3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.50	0.95	27	4
LK. COMO 12/11/96 LG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.50 1.00 2.50 1.69 0.57 28 3 LK. COMO 12/11/96 BG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 2.50 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.79 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.50 0.35 30 1 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 A CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.50 31 1 CHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MM RES/MF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 C									1.50		1.50	2.00	1.69	0.54	28	1
LK. COMO 12/11/96 BG EM RES/SF 2.00 N/A 1.50 1.00 1.00 3.00 1.69 0.57 28 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 CG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 CG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.79 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 LG MR RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 CM 1.25				•					1.50	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.69	0.57	28	2
CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.50 2.13 0.72 29 1 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 RM EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 3.00 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.55 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.34 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.34 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.34 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.55 32 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.55 32 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.55 32 4									1.50	1.50	1.00	2.50	1.69	0.57	28	3
CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LM MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 2.13 0.79 29 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 3.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.43 30 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BR EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.43 30 2 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 BG EM RES/MF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 1 0.00 0.00 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50										1.00	1.00	3.00	1.69	0.57	28	4
CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 CG MH RES/MF 1.50 3.00 2.00 1.00 3.00 2.13 0.73 29 3 CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 GS EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0.50 1.50 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0.50 1.50 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 3 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 3 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.50 31 3 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.50 31 3 0.00 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2									2.50	1.50	2.50	2.50	2.13	0.72	29	1
CHASE GROVE 12/11/96 LG MH RES/MF 1.00 3.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.13 0.67 29 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 GS EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 3.00 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4								3.00	2.50	2.00	2.50	3,00	2.13	0.79	29	2
ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BR EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.35 30 1 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 GS EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.00 1.50 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 RM EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4										1.00	3.00	3.00	2.13	0.73	29	3
ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 GS EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 3.00 2.00 1.25 0.43 30 2 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 RM EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.50 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.50 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.55 32 4										1.50	2.00	2.00	2.13	0.67	29	4
ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 RM EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.00 1.25 0.25 0.00 1.25 0									1.00	0.00	2.00	1.50	1.25	0.35	30	1
ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.00 2.50 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 3 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 DB EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 0.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 1.25 0.34 30 4 ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 2 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 3 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.601978 ESTANCIA/PRES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4 0.60									1.00	0.00	3.00	2.00	1.25	0.43	30	2
ALHAMBRA 12/19/96 BG EM RES/SF 0.50 N/A 1.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 1.25 0.40 30 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.54 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENES 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENES 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENES 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4								N/A	1.00	0.00	2.50	2.00	1.25	0.40	30	
0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 1 0 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.54 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4	•						0.50	N/A	0.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.25	0.34	-30	4
0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 2.00 2.00 0.50 31 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.50 2.00 0.54 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4							0.50	N/A	1.00	0.50	2.00	2.00	1.25	0.40	30	5
0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 1.00 1.50 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601													2.00	0.50	31	1
0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.54 31 4 0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3										0.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	31	2
0601978 ESTANCIA/ENTR 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.00 1.00 2.00 1.50 2.00 0.52 31 5 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00										1.00		1.50	2.00	0.52	31	3
0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 RM MM RES/SF 2.00 1.00 2.00 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.52 32 1 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4										1.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	0.54	31	4
0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BG MM RES/SF 1.50 0.50 1.50 0.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 0.45 32 2 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4										1.00	2.50	1.50	2.00	0.52	31	5
0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4											1.50		2.00	0.52	32	1
0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 DB MM RES/SF 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.57 32 3 0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 GS MM RES/SF 1.50 1.00 2.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 0.55 32 4												2.00	2.00	0.45	32	2
ANALYSIS MARKING ANALYSIS ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANALYSI ANAL										1,50	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.57		3
0601978 ESTANCIA/PRES. 12/19/96 BR MM RES/SF 2.00 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 2.00 0.50 32 5										1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.55	32	4
	0601978	ESTANCIA/PRES.	12/19/96	BR	MM	RES/SF	2.00	1.50	1.50	0.50	1.50	1.50	2.00	0.50	32	5

DEDINT NO	200 1004	DATE	EVALUATOR	MET AND TURE	LAMBURE										
PERMIT NO.	PROJECT	DATE	EVALUATOR	WETLAND TYPE	LANDUSE	WU	O/S	GC	BUFF	E&N	HYD	WQ	WRAP	SITE	OBS
0601401	WALDEN LK. W.	12/19/98	BR	EM	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.56	33	1
0601401	WALDEN LK. W.	12/19/96	DB	EM	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	1.50	0.50	2.00	2.00	2 .0 0	0.53	33	2
0601401	WALDEN LK. W.	12/19/96	GS	EM	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	2.00	0.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	0.61	33	3
0601401	WALDEN LK. W.	12/19/96	BG	EM	RES/SF	1.00	N/A	2.00	0.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	0.52	33	4
0601401	WALDEN LK. W.	12/19/96	RM	EM	RES/SF	1.00	N/A	2.00	0.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	0.53	33	5
3600258	McMGR BAPT, CH.	11/12/96	KF	MM	INST	2.50	2.00	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.94	0.88	34	1
3600258	McMGR BAPT, CH.	11/12/96	SB (COE)	MM	INST	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.94	0.78	34	2
3600258	McMGR BAPT, CH.	11/12/96	DD	MM	INST	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.00	3.00	2.94	0.81	34	3
3602271	N. RIVER EST.	11/07/96	KF	EM	RES/LD	2.50	N/A	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.30	0.93	35	1
3602271	N. RIVER EST,	11/07/96	HH	EM	RES/LD	3.00	N/A	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.30	0.90	35	2
3602271	N. RIVER EST,	11/07/96	JM	EM	RES/LD	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.30	0.90	35	. 3
3602271	N. RIVER EST.	11/07/96	SD	EM	RES/LD	3.00	N/A	3.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.30	0.90	35	4
1100737	COLLIERS PRES.	10/24/96	KF	HP	RES/SF	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.33	0.85	. 36	1
1100737	COLLIERS PRES.	10/24/96	DM	HP	RES/SF	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.33	0.75	36	2
1100737	COLLIERS PRES.	10/24/96	HH	HP	RES/SF	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.33	0.78	36	3
1100737	COLLIERS PRES.	10/24/96	JM	HP	RES/SF	2.50	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.33	0,80	36	4
3602618	DEL PRADO BLVD	09/26/96	DD	EM	HWY	2.50	N/A	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	0.92	37	1
3602618	DEL PRADO BLVD	09/26/96	SD	EM	HWY	3.00	N/A	2.50	3:00	2.50	3.00	3.00	0.94	37	2
3602618	DEL PRADO BLVD	09/26/96	KF	EM	HWY	3.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	0.92	37	3
3602618	DEL PRADO BLVD	09/26/96	HH	EM	HWY	3.00	N/A	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	0.94	37	4
3600853	J. JASSEY VEG.	11/21/96	HH	CYP/MIT	AG/ROW	1.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.38	0.47	38	1
3600853	J. JASSEY VEG.	11/21/96	SD	CYP/MIT	AG/ROW	1.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	3.00	1.38	0.52	38	2
3600853	J. JASSEY VEG.	11/21/96	KF	CYP/MIT	AG/ROW	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	1.38	0.57	38	3
3600853	J. JASSEY VEG.	11/21/96	DM	CYP/MIT	AG/ROW	1.50	0.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.50	1.38	0.52	38	4
3602411	SIX MILE OMNI	11/21/96	DM	CYP	AG/FALLOW	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.50	2.00	0.50	0.57	39	1
3602411	SIX MILE OMNI	11/21/96	SD	CYP	AG/FALLOW	2.00	2,00	2.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	0.50	0.60	39	2
3602411	SIX MILE OMNI	11/21/96	HH	CYP	AG/FALLOW	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	,0.50	0.55	39	3
3602411	SIX MILE OMNI	11/21/96	KF	CYP	AG/FALLOW	2.00	2.50	2.50	0.50	2.00	3.00	0.50	0.62	39	4
3601077	OLDE HICKORY	11/21/96	SD	WP	RES/MF	2.00	N/A	3.00	1.00	2.50	2.00	2.25	0.71	40	1
3601077	OLDE HICKORY	11/21/96	DM	WP	RES/MF	1.50	N/A	1.50	1.00	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.63	40	2
3601077	OLDE HICKORY	11/21/96	HH	WP	RES/MF	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.68	40	3
3601077	OLDE HICKORY	11/21/96	KF	WP	RES/MF	1.50	N/A	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.68	40	4
3601223	COLONIAL BLVD	11/21/96	KF	CYP/MIT	HWY	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.69	41	1
3601223	COLONIAL BLVD	11/21/96	HH	CYP/MIT	HWY	2.50	1.00	1.50	2.50	2.00	2.00	3.00	0.69	41	2
3601223	COLONIAL BLVD	11/21/96	SD	CYP/MIT	HWY	2.00	0,50	1.50	2.50	1.00	3.00	3.00	0.64	41	3
3601223	COLONIAL BLVD	11/21/96	DM	CYP/MIT	HWY	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.50	1.00	3.00	3.00	0.69	41	4
3601634	R. POWELL AG	10/10/96	KF	CYP/MIT	AG	2.00	0.50	2.50	3.00	2.00	1.50	2.75	0.68	42	1
3601634	R. POWELL AG	10/10/96	HH	CYP/MIT	AG	1.50	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.75	0.58	42	2
3601634	R. POWELL AG	10/10/96	SD	CYP/MIT	AG	2.00	1.50	1.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.75	0.70	42	3
3601634	R. POWELL AG	10/10/96	DD	CYP/MIT	AG	1.50	0.50	2.50	3.00	1.50	1.50	2.75	0.63	42	4
3601267	AIRSIDE PLAZA	10/10/96	KF	MM/MIT	LICOMM	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.38	0.73	43	1
3601267	AIRSIDE PLAZA	10/10/96	SD	MM/MIT	LICOMM	1.50	1.50	2.50	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.38	0.70	43	2
3601267	AIRSIDE PLAZA	10/10/96	HH	MM/MIT	LICOMM	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	1.50	2.38	0.71	43	3
3601267	AIRSIDE PLAZA	10/10/96	DD	MM/MIT	LICOMM	2.00	1.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.40	0.78	43	4
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	KF	WP	RES/SF	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.00	1.00	2.50	2.80	0.74	44	1
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	НН	WP	RES/SF	2.00	N/A	2,50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.80	0.77	44	2
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	SD	WP	RES/SF	2.00	N/A	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.80	0.74	44	3
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	DD	WP	RES/SF	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.00	1.50	3.00	2.80	0.80	44	4
3602926	SHELL PIT INC.	09/26/96	KF	WP	IND	1.50	N/A	2.50	2.50	1.50	2.50	3.00	0.75	45	1
3602926	SHELL PIT INC.	09/26/96	НН	WP	IND	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	0.81	45	2
3602926	SHELL PIT INC.	09/26/96	DD	WP	IND	1.50	N/A	2.50	2.50	1.50	3.00	3.00	0.78	45	3
3602926	SHELL PIT INC.	09/26/96	SD	WP	IND	1.50	N/A	3.00	3.00	1,00	3.00	3.00	0.81	45	4
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	KF	EM	RES/SF/GC	2.50	2.00	2.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.13	0.58	46	1
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	SD	EM	RES/SF/GC	3.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.13	0.51	46	2
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	DD	EM	RES/SF/GC	2.00	1.50	2.00	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.13	0.51	46	3
3601396	HERONS GLEN	09/26/96	нн	EM	RES/SF/GC	2.50	2.00	2.00	0.50	1.00	2.00	1.13	0.59	46	4

DEDINE NO	550 1507		51/41 114 TOD	WETLAND TYPE	1 ANDUGG	1471	6/8								
PERMIT NO.	PROJECT	DATE	EVALUATOR	WETLAND TYPE	LANDUSE	WU	O/S	GC.	BUFF	E8N	HYD	WQ	WRAP	SITE	OB\$
3602736	WALMART	11/07/96	SD	EM	HICOMM	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.70	0.58	47	1
3602736	WALMART	11/07/96	HH	EM	HICOMM	1.00	1.50	2.50	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.70	0.58	47	2
3602736	WALMART	11/07/96	KF	EM	HICOMM	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.50	2.50	2.00	1.70	0.65	47	3
3602736	WALMART	11/07/96	JM	EM	HICOMM	1.50	1.50	2.50	1.00	2.50	1.50	1.70	0.58	47	4
	ENERGY RECOVE	11/07/96	KF	MH/MIT	IND	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.50	2.50	1.50	2.10	0.60	48	1
	ENERGY RECOVR	11/07/96	JM	MH/MIT	IND	2.50	0.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	1.50	2.10	0.67	48	2
	ENERGY RECOVR	11/07/96	HH	MH/MIT	IND	2.50	0.50	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.60	0.70	48	3
	ENERGY RECOVR	11/07/96	SD	MH/MIT	IND	2.00	0.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.60	0.70	48	4
1101367	TURTLE CREEK	10/24/96	KF .	HP	RES/MF	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.75	0.87	49	1
1101367	TURTLE CREEK	10/24/96	DM	HP	RES/MF	2.50	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.75	0.92	49	2
1101367	TURTLE CREEK	10/24/96	HH	HP	RES/MF	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.75	0.85	49	3
1101367	TURTLE CREEK	10/24/96	JM	HP	RES/MF	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.75	0.89	49	4
1100900	RAILHD, IND, PK,	10/24/96	KF	WP	IND	2.00	N/A	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.70	0.87	50	1
1100900	RAILHD, IND, PK,	10/24/96	DM	WP	IND	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.70	0.82	50	2
1100900	RAILHD, IND, PK,	10/24/96	HH	WP	IND	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.70	0.84	50	3
1100900	RAILHD, IND. PK.	10/24/96	JM	WP	IND	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.70	0.82	50	4
1100556	951 COMM, CTR.	10/03/96	KF	WP	LICOMM	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.00	0.50	2.50	2.25	0.74	51	1
1100556	951 COMM, CTR.	10/03/96	JM	WP	LICOMM	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	1.50	2.50	2.25	0.63	51	2
1100556	951 COMM. CTR.	10/03/96	HH	WP	LICOMM	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.50	2.00	2.25	0.65	51	3
1100556	951 COMM. CTR.	10/03/96	DD	WP	LICOMM	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.50		2.50				
9604125	HERON PK. APTS.	10/03/96	JM	CYP/PN	RES/MF	0.50				1.50		2.38	0.69	51	4
9604125		10/03/96	HH	CYP/PN	RES/MF		1.00	0.50	1.50	1.00	2.00	1.94	0.40	52	1
	HERON PK. APTS.					1.50	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	0.53	52	2
9604125	HERON PK. APTS.	10/03/96	KF	CYP/PN	RES/MF	1.50	0.50	0.50	1.50	1.00	1.50	1.94	0.40	52	3
9604125	HERON PK. APTS.	10/03/96	DD	CYP/PN	RES/MF	0.50	1.00	0.50	1.50	1.00	2.50	1.94	0.43	52	4
3600142	LEHIGH ACRES	11/07/96	HH	EM	HICOMM	2.50	2.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.50	0.88	53	1
3600142	LEHIGH ACRES	11/07/96	JM	EM	HICOMM	2.50	2.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	3,00	2.50	0.88	53	2
3600142 -	LEHIGH ACRES	11/07/96	SD	EM	HICOMM	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	2.50	0.79	53	3
3600142	LEHIGH ACRES	11/07/96	KF	EM	HICOMM	2.00	2.50	3.00	2.50	3.00	3,00	2.50	0.88	53	4
9608123	THE CLUB EST.	10/03/96	KF	CYP/PN	RES/SF/UNDEV	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.63	0.60	54	1
9608123	THE CLUB EST.	10/03/96	DD	CYP/PN	RES/SF/UNDEV	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	1.00	2.00	2.63	0.67	54	2
9608123	THE CLUB EST.	10/03/96	JM	CYP/PN	RES/SF/UNDEV	1.50	2.00	2,00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.63	0.62	54	3
9608123	THE CLUB EST.	10/03/96	HH	CYP/PN	RES/SF/UNDEV	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	0.50	2.00	2.63	0.63	54	4
3600033	DANIELS PKWY	10/10/96	KF	WP/MIT	HWY	2.00	N/A	1.50	3.00	2.00	2.50	3.00	0.78	55	1
3600033	DANIELS PKWY	10/10/96	HH	WP/MIT	HWY	1.00	N/A	2.00	2.50	1,00	2.00	3.00	0.64	55	2
3600033	DANIELS PKWY	10/10/96	DD	WP/MIT	HWY	2.50	N/A	2.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	3,00	0.75	55	3
3600033	DANIELS PKWY	10/10/96	SD	WP/MIT	HWY	2.50	N/A	1.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	0.83	55	4
9608197	RIVER BRIDGE	11/12/96	DD .	MM	RES/GC	0.50	2.00	2,00	2.50	0.50	2.50	3.00	0.62	56	1
9608197	RIVER BRIDGE	11/12/96	SB(COE)	MM	RES/GC	0.50	2.00	3.00	3.00	0.50	3.00	3.00	0.67	56	2
9608197	RIVER BRIDGE	11/12/96	KF	MM	RES/GC	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	0.55	56	3
3602915	NFM COMM. PK.	10/10/96	KF	EM	REC	1.50	1.00	0.50	2.00	2.50	0.50	2.70	0.51	57	1
3602915	NFM COMM. PK.	10/10/96	DD	EM	REC	1.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.70	0.56	57	2
3602915	NFM COMM, PK.	10/10/96	SD	EM	REC	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.70	0.46	57	3
3601809	CRISAFULLI SERV	10/03/96	KF	MM	HICOMM	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.50	0.00	0.50	2.75	0.30	58	1
3601809	CRISAFULLI SERV	10/03/96	HH	MM	HICOMM	0.50	1.00	0.50	2.00	1.00	0.50	2.75	0.39	58	2
3601809	CRISAFULLI SERV	10/03/96	JM	MM	HICOMM	0.50	1.00	0.50	2.00	0.50	0.50	2.75	0.37	58	3
3601809	CRISAFULLI SERV	10/03/96	DD	MM	HICOMM	0.50	1.00	0.50	2.00	0,00	0.50	2.75	0.34	58	Ā
3602643	MANATEE PK.	10/10/96	KF	MH	REC	2.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	2.50	0.36	59	1
3602643	MANATEE PK.	10/10/96	SD	MH	REC	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	2.50	0.31	59	2
3602643	MANATEE PK.	10/10/96	DD	MH	REC	1,50	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	2.50	0.38	59	3
2600535	MILLS RANCH 31-2	01/21/97	RM	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.25	0.74	60	1
2600535	MILLS RANCH 31-2	01/21/97	BG	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.25	0.82	60	2
2600535	MILLS RANCH 31-2	01/21/97	BR	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.25	0.76	60	3
2600535	MILLS RANCH 31-2	01/21/97	BN	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.25	0.74	60	3 1
2600299	DEVILS G. DET-3	01/21/97	RM	CYP	AG/CITRUS	2.50	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	0.74	61	4
2600299	DEVILS G. DET-3	01/21/97	BN	CYP	AG/CITRUS	2.00	2.50	1.00	0.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.55	61	2
2600299	DEVILS G. DET-3	01/21/97	BG	CYP	AG/CITRUS	2.00	2.50	1.00	2.00	1.50	1.50	2.00	0.60	61	3
2600299	DEVILS G. DET-3	01/21/97	BR BR	CYP	AG/CITRUS	2.50	2.50	1.00	2.00	1.50	1.50	2.00	0.62	61	4
2000233	DEVILO G. DET-J	31121131	SIX	OII	, 10/0111103	2.50	2.30	1.00	۵.00	1.50	1,30	۵.00	0,02	31	4

555147 NO	220 1202		F\(\A\)	METI AND TYPE	LANDUET	14/11	0.6	••	DUE 5		10VD :	1410	1477.45		000
PERMIT NO. 2600535	PROJECT MILLS RANCH 19-7	DATE 01/21/97	EVALUATOR RM	WETLAND TYPE EM	LANDUSE AG/SUGAR	WU 1.50	O/S N/A	GC 2.00	BUFF 2.00	E&N	HYD	WQ	WRAP 0.60	SITE	OBS
2600535	MILLS RANCH 19-7	01/21/97	BG.	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.00	N/A	2.00	2.00	1.00 1.50	2.00 2.00	2.25 2.25	0.65	62 62	1
2600535	MILLS RANCH 19-7	01/21/97	BN	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.00	N/A	1.50	1.00	1.50	1.50	2.25	0.54	62 62	2 3
2600535	MILLS RANCH 19-7	01/21/97	BR	EM	AG/SUGAR	3.00	N/A	1.50	2.50	1.00	1.00	2.25	0.63	62	4
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-14	01/21/97	BN	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.74	63	1
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-14	01/21/97	RM	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.00	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.25	D.68	63	2
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-14	01/21/97	BG	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.74	63	ร์
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-14	01/21/97	BR	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.25	0.74	63	7
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-13	01/21/97	BR	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.50	1.50	2.50	2.00	2.25	0.74	64	1
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-13	01/21/97	BG	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	3.00	1.50	3.00	2.50	2.25	0.82	64	ż
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-13	01/21/97	BN	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	2.50	1.00	2.50	2.50	2.25	0.74	64	3
2600535	MILLS RANCH 20-13	01/21/97	RM	EM	AG/SUGAR	2.50	N/A	3.00	0.50	2.50	3.00	2.25	0.79	64	4
2600299	DEVILS G. #2	01/21/97	RM	EM	AG/CITRUS	2.50	N/A	1.00	1.50	2.00	1.50	2.00	0.58	65	1
2600299	DEVILS G. #2	01/21/97	BG	EM	AG/CITRUS	2.00	N/A	1.50	1.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.58	65	ż
2600299	DEVILS G. #2	01/21/97	BR	EM	AG/CITRUS	2.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	0.61	6 5	3
2600299	DEVILS G. #2	01/21/97	BN	EM	AG/CITRUS	2.50	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	0.56	65	4
2000233	ACME COMPLEX	01/21/97	RM	MM	INST	0.50	0.50	0.00	1.50	0.00	0.50	3.00	0.33	66	1
	ACME COMPLEX	01/22/97	BN	MM	INST	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.50	3.00	0.33	66	2
		01/22/97	BR	MM	INST	0.50	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.50	3.00	0.36	66	3
	ACME COMPLEX	01/22/97	RM	EM	INST	1,50	1:00	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.00	2.00	0.48	67	1
	CRIMINAL COMPLX		BG	EM	INST	1.50	1.00	1.50	1.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	0.48	67	2
	CRIMINAL COMPLX	01/22/97	BN	EM	INST	1.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	0.43	67	3
	CRIMINAL COMPLX	01/22/97	BR BR	EM	INST	2.00	1.00	1.50	0.50	1.00	1.50	2.00	0.45	67	4
5002754	CRIMINAL COMPLX	01/22/97	RM	MM	REC	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	0.76	68	1
	FEST. SHOPPES	01/22/97		MM	REC	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	0.75	68	2
5002754	FEST. SHOPPES	01/22/97	BN	MM	REC		1.50		2.50		2.50	3.00			3
5002754	FEST. SHOPPES	01/22/97	BG			2.00		2.00		2.00			0.74	68	
5002754	FEST. SHOPPES	01/22/97	BR	MM	REC	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	1.50	2.50	3.00	0.76	68	4
5003078	JUP COMM PK	01/22/97	BR	MM	REC	1,50	1.50	1.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	0.64	69	. 1
5003078	JUP COMM PK	01/22/97	RM	MM	REC	2.00	1.50	1.00	2.50	1.00	2.00	3.00	0.62	69	2
5003078	JUP COMM PK	01/22/97	BG	MM	REC	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.50	1.50	2.50	3.00	0.64	69	3
5003078	JUP COMM PK	01/22/97	BN	MM	REC	2.00	1.50	1.00	2.50	2.00	2.50	3.00	0.67	69	4
5003356	SCHOOL HHH	01/22/97	RM	MM	INST	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.50	2.00	2.25	0.70	70	1
5003356	SCHOOL HHH	01/22/97	BN	MM	INST	1.50	1.50	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	0.68	70	2
5003356	SCHOOL HHH	01/22/97	BR	MM	INST	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.25	0.71	70	3
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BG	EM/CREATED	RES/GC	1.50	N/A	2.00	0.50	2.00	2.50	1.25	0.54	71	1
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BN	EM/CREATED	RES/GC	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	1.25	0.57	71	2
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	RM	EM/CREATED	RES/GC	1.50	N/A	1.50	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.25	0.57	71	3
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BG	EM/12	RES/GC	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.50	0.67	72	1
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	RM	EM/12	RES/GC	2.00	N/A	2.50	1.50	2.00	2.50	1.50	0.67	72	2
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BN	EM/12	RES/GC	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	1.50	0.69	72	3
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	RM	EM/14G	RES/GC	2.50	N/A	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.13	0.76	73	1
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BN	EM/14G	RES/GC	2.50	N/A	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.13	0.73	73	2
4300848	FLORIDA CLUB	01/30/97	BG	EM/14G	RES/GC ;	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.13	0.76	73	3
4300529	SOUTHWOOD	01/30/97	BG	EM	RES/SF	2.00	N/A	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.50	2.46	0.75	74	1
4300529	SOUTHWOOD	01/30/97	RM	EM	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	2.50	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.46	0.69	74	2
4300529	SOUTHWOOD	01/30/97	BN	EM	RES/SF	2.00	N/A	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.50	2.46	0.69	74	3
4300115	JENSEN PK. EST.	01/30/97	BG	EM/CREATED	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	1.25	0.51	75	1
4300115	JENSEN PK. EST.	01/30/97	RM	EM/CREATED	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.00	1.25	0.51	75	2
4300115	JENSEN PK. EST.	01/30/97	BN	EM/CREATED	RES/SF	1.50	N/A	1.50	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.25	0.46	75	3
5601136	OAKS @ I.R.	01/30/97	BN	MM	RES/SF	2.00	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.00	2.00	2.65	0.58	76	1
5601136	OAKS @ I.R.	01/30/97	RM	MM	RES/SF	1.50	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.65	0.55	76	2
5601136	OAKS @ I.R.	01/30/97	BG	MM	RES/SF	1.50	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.65	0.58	76	. 3
5600274	MIDPORT PARK	01/30/97	RM	EM	REC	2.00	N/A	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.25	0.54	77	1
5600274	MIDPORT PARK	01/30/97	BG	EM	REC	1.50	N/A	1.50	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.25	0.54	77	2
5600274	MIDPORT PARK	01/30/97	BN	EM	REC	1.50	N/A	1.50	2.00	1.00	1.50	2.25	0.54	77	3

1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3
1 2 3 1 2
1 2 3 1 2
1 2 3 1 2 2
2 3 1 2
3 1 2
1 2
2
2
3
4
5
6
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
ė
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

WRAP ADDENDUM FOR MITIGATION BANKS

The MBRT promotes the following additional considerations in utilizing WRAP for the evaluation of a mitigation bank in Florida (and associated impact site):

Wildlife Utilization (Section 2.2.1.2)

To score a 1, variable descriptors will include: Minimal representation of species guilds.

To score a 2, variable descriptors will include: Moderate representation of species guilds.

To score a 3, variable descriptors will include: Optimal representation of species guilds.

The species compilations presented on the following pages are to be used as a guide in determining guild representation. This list is not all-inclusive.

FLORIDA WILDLIFE GUILDS

	FLORIDA WILDI	AFE GUILDS
GUILD	WETLAND OBLIGA	TE AND FACULTATIVE SPECIES
MAMMALS	Common Name	Scientific Name

Round-tailed muskrat

White-tailed deer Odocoileus virginianus
Marsh rabbit Sylvilagus palustris
Rice rat Oryzomys palustis
Cotton mouse Peromyscus gossypinus
Beaver Castor canadensis

Neofiber alleni

Wetland Carnivores and Omnivores

Wetland Herbivores

River otter Lutra canadensis
Mink Mustela vison
Bobcat Lynx rufus

Florida panther Felis concolor coryi
Raccoon Procyon lotor
Black bear Ursus americanus
Virginia opossum Didelphis virginiana

BIRDS

Wading Birds Wood stork Mycteria americana

Ardea herodias Great blue heron Casmerodius albus Great egret Butorides striatus Green-backed heron Little blue heron Egretta caerulea Egretta rufescens Reddish egret Egretta thula Snowy egret Egretta tricolor Tricolored heron Ajaia ajaja Roseate spoonbill White ibis Eudocimus albus Plegadis falcinellus Glossy ibis Nycticorax nycticorax Black-crowned night heron

Yellow-crowned night heron
American bittern

Least bittern

Nycticorax violaceus
Botaurus lentiginosus
Ixobrychus exilis

Fish-Eating Birds Terns Sterna spp.

Black skimmer Rynchops niger
Belted kingfisher Ceryle alcyon

Brown pelican Pelicanus occidentalis

Common loon Gavia immer

Grebes Podiceps, Podilymbus
Mergansers Mergus spp.
Anhinga Anhinga anhinga

Double-crested Cormorant

Anninga anninga
Phalacrocorax auritus

Water Birds Dabbling ducks Anas spp.

SECTION 5a – WETLAND RAPID ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE
OPERATIONAL DRAFT
October 1998

Aquatic Invertebrate-Eating Birds

Plovers Charadrius spp.

Black-necked stilt Himantopus mexicanus
American avocet Recurvirostra americana

Sandpipers and phalaropes Scolopacidae

American oystercatcher

Snail kite

Limpkin

Haematopus palliatus

Rostrhamus sociabilis

Aramus guarauna

Rails Rallus spp.

Insectivores Tree swallow Tachycineta bicolor

Sedge wren Cistothorus platensis Marsh wren Cistothours palustris Vireo altiloquus Black-whiskered vireo Yellow-throated warbler Dendroica dominica Protonotaria citrea Prothonotary warbler Geothylpis trichas Common yellow throat Aimophila aestivalis Bachman's sparrow Ammodramus savannarum Grasshopper sparrow Ammodramus caudacutus Sharp-tailed sparrow

Swamp sparrow

Red-winged blackbird

Animour amus cuatate

Melospiza georgiana

Agelaius phoeniceus

Raptors Bald eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus

Osprey
Pandion haliaetus

Northern harrier
Peregrine falcon
Merlin
Falco columbarius

Swallow-tailed kite
Pandion haliaetus
Circus cyaneus
Falco peregrinus
Falco columbarius
Elanoides forficatus

REPTILES

<u>Crocodylians</u> Alligator Alligator mississippiensis

American crocodile Crocodylus acutus

Aquatic Turtles Florida snapping turtle Chelydra serpentina

Peninsula cooter Chrysemys floridana
Florida redbelly turtle Chrysemys nelsoni
Yellowbelly slider Chrysemys scripta
Florida chicken turtle Deirochelys reticularia
Striped mud turtle Kinosternon bauri
Florida mud turtle Kinosternon subrubrum
Stinkpot Sternotherus odoratus

Florida softshell Trionyx ferox

Aquatic Snakes Water snakes Nerodia spp.

Striped crayfish snake Regina alleni
Florida swamp snake Seminatrix pygaea
Florida cottonmouth Agkistrodon piscivorus

AMPHIBIANS

Treefrogs Hyla spp.
Cricket frogs Acris spp.
Chorus frogs Pseudacris spp.

Eastern narrowmouth toad Gastrophryne carolinensis
Eastern spadefoot Scaphiopus hobrooki

True frogs Rana spp.

Two-toed amphiuma

Dwarf salamander

Peninsula newt

Dwarf siren

Amphiuma means

Eurycea quadridigitata

Notophthalmus viridescens

Pseudobranchus striatus

Eastern lesser siren Siren intermedia
Greater siren Siren lacertina

FISH

<u>Predatory Fishes</u>
Largemouth bass

Micropterus salmoides

Gar Lepisosteus spp.

Forage Fishes Sunfish Centrarchidae Killifishes Cyprinodontidae

Livebearers Poeciliidae

AQUATIC MACROINVERTEBRATES

Crayfish Procambarus spp.

Apple snail Pomacea paludosus
Ram's horn snail Planorbella spp.
Prawns Penaeus spp.

Grass shrimp Paloaemonetes paludosus

Dragonflies Anisoptera
Mayflies Ephemeroptera
Aquatic beetles Dytiscidae/Gyrinidae

Hydrophilidae

Fishing spiders

Water striders

Aquatic bugs

Leeches

Water mites

Aquatic moths

Dolomedes spp.

Gerridae

Hemiptera

Hemiptera

Hirudinea

Hydracarina

Lepidoptera

Water Quality (Section 2.2.6.1)

Selection of Water Quality Indicators

For initial mitigation bank establishment, selected water quality sampling is necessary to document baseline, site-specific water quality functions and to monitor any future anticipated water quality improvement over the life of the mitigation bank. The Water Quality Indicators described below are separated into General Field Parameters, which will be measured at all mitigation bank sites, and Potential Parameters for Specific Sites based on the land use categories adjacent to a specific mitigation bank. The final selection of water quality criteria and the frequency, location and duration of water quality sampling are designed to be flexible, and will be tailored to each mitigation bank based on discussions with the MBRT, water quality experts, and the prospective mitigation banker.

Water quality sampling at a proposed mitigation bank site should begin early in the planning process and is designed to supplement the WRAP scoring, not replace it. Initial water quality analyses and historic water quality information, if available, should be submitted for review by the MBRT in the Mitigation Bank Prospectus, or soon thereafter. In addition to documenting baseline conditions at a specific mitigation bank site, water quality data may document unique water quality issues needing resolution prior to bank approval. Data will also be utilized to quantifiably document improvement, or lack thereof, in water quality conditions over the life of the mitigation bank. As such, and if applicable, water quality criteria will be utilized during the establishment of credit release schedules and the ultimate release of credits based on documented water quality improvement.

- 1. <u>Objectives</u>: Quantifiable water quality criteria, which can be compared to current applicable state standards, should be used to assess proposed water quality improvements at mitigation bank sites. These criteria must be site-specific, and be able to target and determine water quality impacts from adjacent and nearby lands. Sampling and analyses of water quality parameters must be performed by HRS-approved laboratories using FDEP-approved methods.
- 2. <u>General Field Parameters</u>: The following should be measured within ALL potential mitigation sites:

Specific conductance, pH, Dissolved oxygen, Turbidity, Hydrogen sulfide, Biological oxygen demand (BOD), Total hardness, Total dissolved solids, Total organic carbon, Chemical oxygen demand (COD), Unionized ammonia, Total nitrogen, Total phosphorus. Mosquito control treatment history, if applicable, may identify additional specific water quality criteria which need to be measured.

3. <u>Potential Parameters for Specific Sites</u>: The selection of water quality criteria will be based on the following land use categories adjacent to a given mitigation bank on a case-by-case basis:

- A. <u>Agricultural lands/Golf courses</u>: Pesticides (Chlordane, Endosulfan, Endrin, Heptachlor, Malathion, 2,4,5-TP, 2,4,-D, Aldrin, DDT).
- B. <u>Range/Pasture/Dairy and Feedlots</u>: Total Coliform, Fecal coliform, and Pesticides (depending on management practices).
- C. <u>Residential/Commercial</u>: Oils and greases, Pesticides, Aluminum, Chlorides, Total coliform, Fecal coliform, Chromium, Lead, Orthophosphate, Selenium, Semivolatile compounds, Volatile compounds, Zinc.
- D. <u>Industrial</u>: Oils and greases, Pesticides, Aluminum, Chlorides, Chromium, Lead, Orthophosphate, Selenium, Semivolatile compounds, Volatile compounds, Zinc, Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, Total Phenols, Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Phthalate esters, Polychlorinated biphenyls, Radioactive substances, Cyanides.
- E. <u>Highway</u>: Oils and grease, Semivolatile compounds, Volatile compounds.

Note:

A site-specific quality assurance plan must be submitted with any proposed monitoring plan. The quality assurance plan must conform with FDER Guidelines For Preparing Quality Assurance Plans (DER-QA-001/85, dated January 30, 1986).